POST-MI

Troubleshooting Practical Issues

October 2004

The RxFiles Academic Detailing Program in collaboration with Derek Jorgenson, Health Quality Council (HQC)

701 Queen Street Saskatoon City Hospital Saskatoon, SK S7K 0M7 Phone 306-655-8506 ; Fax 306-655-7980 Email: <u>RegierL@RxFiles.ca</u> <u>DJorgenson@HQC.sk.ca</u>

Figure 1: % of Sask. Patients on Target Therapy @90days Post-MI.



PRACTICAL ISSUES – Beta-blockers (BB)

Which patients will benefit?

- Shown to reduce all-cause mortality in all post-MI patients regardless of LV function, especially those at high risk (when initiated within 4 weeks -ideally first 24hrs of MI and continued for up to 4 years)^{1,16}
- Beta-blockers may be especially underutilized in the elderly.¹

Contraindications – myths and preconceptions

- Many conditions that previously contraindicated the use of betablockers are not "absolute". With <u>cautious initiation</u> and close monitoring, <u>benefits may outweigh the risks</u> in the following¹⁷:
- COPD, diabetes, peripheral artery disease, & compensated HF; mild asthma (cardioselective BBs in those well controlled with inhaled steroids)¹⁸

PRACTICAL ISSUES – Statins

Which patients will benefit?

 ALL post-MI patients appear to benefit from statin therapy regardless of lipid levels (HPS ^{~40%} patients post-MI history) ^{19, 1} AHA'04

Should "high dose" statins be used in acute MI?

Aggressive dose (atorvastatin 80mg OD) was better than moderate dose (pravastatin 40mg OD) when initiated <10 days after acute MI and continued for 2 years.^{20 PROVE IT} {LDL achieved was 1.6_{mmol/L}. Caution: risk of adverse effects (liver, muscle) with aggressive statin doses ^{20, 21,22} MIRACL. Potentially conflicting A-Z trial data ²¹}

Monitoring

- Guidelines recommend baseline transaminase and CK levels before starting any patient on a statin ^{AHA 23, ATP III 24}
- Frequent laboratory monitoring may be necessary in patients at high risk for adverse effects (ie. drug interactions, elderly, renal / hepatic dysfunction, high dose statins, niacin or fibrate combinations)

PRACTICAL ISSUES – ASA

- Recommend 81mg enteric coated daily (75mg-162mg) 1 AHA'04, 25 ATC'02
- Consider *H. pylori* eradication and cytoprotection for patients at high-risk of a GI bleed, even for ≤100mg ASA ²⁶
- Minimize ^{1,27} regular use of ibuprofen *MOTRIN, ADVIL* with ASA since antiplatelet effects <u>may</u> be blocked (conflicting data²⁸)
- High-dose NSAIDs/COXIBs may be associated with adverse heart outcomes heart failure, MI (eg. rofecoxib vIOXX ^{29, 30; APPROVe})

For specific drug & dosage considerations, see Page 2 - Table 1.

Key Message:

Four types of medication (ACE-Inhibitors, beta-blockers, statins & ASA) have been shown to reduce cardiovascular risk in post-MI patients.¹ These benefits are in addition to risk factor management (eg. diet ^{2,3,4}, lifestyle, exercise) and occur regardless of the presence of hypertension, dyslipidemia, or LV dysfunction. Clinical judgment is essential to assess risk/benefit for individual patients.

How are we doing in Saskatchewan?

 An analysis of Saskatchewan dispensing rates by the HQC suggests that important drugs are underutilized (See Figure 1).

PRACTICAL ISSUES – ACE Inhibitors (ACEI)

Which patients will benefit?

- All post MI patients without contraindications ¹ (indefinitely)
- Shown to reduce risk of CV events in post-MI patients who are high risk ^{5,6,7} (elderly, LV dysfunction); some benefit also in lower risk ^{8,9} patients (e.g. young, no LV dysfunction)
- Beneficial when initiated soon after acute MI ^{1 (-first 24hrs)} AHA'04

Initiation & dosing in patients with renal dysfunction ¹⁰

Ensure SCr is stable before initiating ACEI therapy

- Start with low doses, slowly titrating towards targets with close monitoring. A moderate rise in SCr (that stabilizes within 1 week) may occur after each dose increase. (Of note: ACEI beneficial if existing renal impairment but may consider nephrology consult; trials exclude patients with high SCr (e.g. >200umol/1 ^{TRACE}).
- Check SCr, BUN, and lytes at baseline and 7-14 days after each dose increase. If SCr rise (above baseline) is: ¹⁰
 - <30% continue titration / no concern
 - 30-50% decrease ACEI dose by 50% and recheck SCr in 7-10 days. If SCr rise still >30%, stop the ACEI
 - >50% stop ACEI
 - When SCr rise is >30% consider investigating for renal artery stenosis and rule out other reversible causes.
 - <u>Common reversible causes include</u>: heart failure, aggressive diuresis, volume depletion, NSAIDs/coxibs & dehydration.
- Potassium levels above 5.6mmol/L during ACEI therapy should prompt reassessment of ACEI.

Initiation in patients with hyperkalemia

- Potassium should be \leq 5.0mmol/L before initiating
- Identify reversible causes of the baseline hyperkalemia: Concurrent NSAIDs/coxibs or potassium sparing diuretics eg. spironolactone¹¹, dietary indiscretion -dietician counseling may be helpful.

Role of angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs)

- Acceptable alternative when ACEIs not tolerated ¹
- Studied only in post-MI patients with LV dysfunction; valsartan at very high dosage showed equivalency to ACEI VALIANT 12; losartan at lower dose was less effective than an ACEI OPTIMAL 13
- Combination of an ACEI + ARB no more effective but more adverse effects than either ACEI or ARB alone VALIANT

Table 1: Post-MI –Drug & Dosage Considerations

Prepared by D. Jorgenson, B. Jensen, L. Regier - www.RxFiles.ca - Oct 2004

	POST-MI TARGET D	OOSES CONTROLLED TRIALS	\$/30d	<u>BENEFITS</u>	RISKS	COMMENTS	
	Ramipril ALTACE	$10mg \ HS \ ^{\text{HOPE; 5mg BID AIRE 31}}$	41	◆ all-cause mortality: 17-29% RRR when	◆Adverse effects	•AHA STEMI Guidelines ²⁰⁰⁴ suggest to use ACE	
	Trandolapril MAVIK	4mg OD TRACE 6	41	started 2-16 days after event & continued for	include cough ^{<10%} ,	inhibitors in all pts indefinitely . Most benefit if	
	Lisinopril ZESTRIL/PRINIV	IL 10mg OD GISSI-3 32	30	4-5 yrs in pts with LV dysfx $\frac{12}{3}$ ys $\frac{34.7\%}{n}$, $\frac{1719}{n}$	hypotension/dizzy ²⁻¹¹ %	anterior infarction, pulmonary congestion or EF<0.4,	
Π	(high dose)	\sim 35mg OD ATLAS 33 (HF)	65	{IRACE: NN I=13 over 4yrs }	repal insufficiency (in the	<100mm Hg or < 30mm Hg below baseline)	
S	Perindopril COVERSYL	8mg OD EUROPA 9	45	• prevents ventricular remodeling; • proteinuria	with renal artery stenosis) &	•Contraindicated in pts with bilateral renal artery	
4	Enalapril VASOTEC	20mg OD CONSENSUS-II 34	48	• 16% RRR in all cause mortality when started	angioedema 0.4%, Blacks 0.7% 36,	stenosis (or unilateral stenosis if only 1 kidney),	
	Captopril CAPOTEN	50mg TID SAVE 7, BID in ISIS4 35	52	in high fisk pis with remote history of wir and continued for 5 years HOPE · NNT HOPE = 56	taste changes, rash; Rare:	history of angioedema to ACEI, & pregnancy	
	Concerlly start low-dose & ti	trate un to target dose if tolers	nted en	remineral 2 5mg OD x1wk 5mg od x3wk then 10mg od HO	pancreatitis & blood dyscrasias. PE >50% POST MI	•Combo ACEI+ARB: option with persistent HF CHARM	
		trate up to target dose <u>in totera</u>	<u>iicu</u> . eg.	Tampin 2.5mg OD XIWK, 5mg OU A5WK then Tonig Ou		(more adverse effects & no greater efficacy value)	
~	Valsartan DIOVAN	160mg BID VALIANT 12	82	• all-cause mortality: valsartan, captopril ^{sumg IU} ,	Angloedema (17 of 26 pts 10^{42}	•Alternative if ACEI not tolerated & HF/LVEF<0.4	
RE	Candesartan ATACAND	32mg OD CHARM (HE trial)37,38	87	• \downarrow proteinuria ³⁹ even in pts with SCr<265 40,41	Salely put on ARB after ACEI) ; More: ↓BP & ↑SCr 4.9 VS 3% VALIANT	•captopril 50mg TID reduced CV-death in post-MI	
A	Generally start low-dose & ti	<mark>itrate up</mark> to target dose <u>if toler</u>	ated. eg.	candesartan 4-8mg od, doubling ~q2wk →32mg od	Less: cough 1.7 VS 5% VALIANT, rash &	pts more than losartan <u>50mg</u> OD ^{OPTIMAAL}	
	Matamalal [♥] LODDDGGGD	100	16	(>50% Ischemic Heart Disease in the CHARM Heart Failure trial)	taste changes than ACEI. VALIANT	• A HA STEMI Guidelines ²⁰⁰⁴ suggest to use beta	
	Metoproioi LOPRESSOR	100mg BID Internation 45	10	in any pt within 5-28 days of MI & continued	include hypotension	blockers in all nts indefinitely (benefit less in low-risk	
\sim	A. 1.1 V	$\leq 200 \text{mg SR OD}^{\text{MERII-HF 44,45}}$	24	for up to 4vr:Meta-analysis: NNT=42 over 2vr	dizziness, bradycardia.	pts eg. ~normal left ventricular fx, successful reperfusion.	
Ш	Atenolol TENORMIN	100mg OD ¹³¹³⁻¹⁴⁰	20	(best long-term evidence with propranolol,	fatigue ^{<10%} , insomnia,	absence of significant ventricular arrhythmias}	
X	Carvedilol COREG 🕋	25mg BID CAPRICORN 4/	58	metoprolol & timolol) FREEMANTLE n=24,974	vivid dreams, & sexual	 Contraindicated in pts with severe/poorly controlled 	
g	Propranolol INDERAL	60-80mg TID BHAT 48	14	• \downarrow sudden death, reinfarction & arrhythmias	dysfunction ~4%;	asthma, 2^{nd} or 3^{rd} degree heart block, HR<50, SBP	
Ľ	Timolol BLOCADREN	10mg BID MCG 49	25	• Less benefit: ISA agents (pindoloi; acebutoloi?)	PAD, cold extremities;	<90, & decompensated heart failure ³³	
Μ	Acebutolol ACEBUTAN	V 200mg BID APSI 50	22	*Cardioselective agents () preferred for hind asthma & diabetes	mask hypoglycemia .	• some believe carvedilol better than metoprolol for HF	
Р-	Start low-dose & titrate up to	target dose <u>if tolerated,</u> eg. m	etoprolo	al 12.5mg BID; double dose 1 q2wk. (atenolol 25mg OD; c	carvedilol 3.125mg BID).	•CNS adverse effects (depression impotence	
	Tolerability : Gradual dose titration & pt education regarding initial side effects improves tolerability. (e.g. <u>64% of MERIT-HF reached metoprolol 200mg/d</u>) ⁴⁵				fatigue) overestimated: common in placebo groups		
	If withdrawing beta-blocker therapy, do so gradually if possible over a few weeks to minimize risk of precipitating angina/MI.				& may not be solely related to beta-blockers 5^2		
	Simvastatin ZOCOR	20-40mg OD 48 55, HPS 19	46	• all-cause mortality: 22-29% RRR in post MI	 Adverse effects 	•AHA STEMI Guidelines ²⁰⁰⁴ suggest to use statins in	
S	Atorvastatin LIPITOR	10mg OD ASCOT (not post-MI) 56	67	pts with \uparrow cholesterol (LDL 3.9-4.9mmol/L) ^{4S, LIPID} ;	include GI upset,	all patients (even when baseline $LDL < 2.5_{mmol/L}$)	
Ż	(high-dose in ACS) 80mg OD PROVE IT 20,22	87	4S NNT=30 ^{11.5} vs 8.2%, n=4444 simvastain 20-40mg/d, 5.4yr	muscle aches, elevated	•ATP-3 LDL target <u>option</u> : 1.8 mmol/L if <u>very</u> high risk ²⁴	
F	Pravastatin PRAVACHOL	40mg OD LIPID 57, CARE 58	44	• \downarrow in major CV events $^{NN1=18}$ & stroke $^{NN1=62}$	LFTs \sim , myopathy \sim ,	•If TG >5.6mmol/L, consider macin or fibrate	
T A	(Rosuvastatin CRESTOR -no outo	come trials vet: ^{59,60} 10mg OD	56	in pts at high CV risk (over 5 years) HPS 19	impotence: Paro: lupus liko	•Options for low HDL: mestyle (exercise, 4wi, smoking), fibrate (gomfibrazil 600mg PID VA-HIT \$42) ⁶² or piacin	
S.	Higher levels in Asians: rhabdom	volvsis cases at doses $\geq 10 \text{mg/d}$		• most trials enrolled pts >3months post-MI ^{HPS, LIPD, CARE}	symptoms, periph neuropathy.	•Contraindicated in pts with active liver disease, high	
	May start at target dose unless high risk for side effects (ie. elderly, renal/hepatic dysfx, niacin or fibrate combos, drug interactions, high dose or hx of intolerance) alcohol consumption & pr						
<u> </u>	ASA	80-162mg OD	5	◆all-cause mortality:10% RRR,NNT=91 ^{over 2yr ATC}	•Adverse effects: GI	•AHA STEMI Guidelines ²⁰⁰⁴ suggest using ASA	
ιщ		C		•25% RRR in vascular events in previous MI	upset, hypersensitivity,	indefinitely 75 to 162 mg/d if not contraindicated.	
μ	Generally start at ~ 81mg ent	eric coated OD; {ASA ≤100mq		patients treated with antiplatelet agents for 27	GI bleed; major bleed.	•Contraindicated in pts with recent/active bleeding,	
	as effective/less bleeding than 325	mg, especially with Plavix CURE } 63		monuis	stroke ~ 0.5% / 5 years	• For pts with a true allergy to ASA an allergy	
Ľ	{see also RxFiles Antiplatelet & Antithrombotic Chart 44}			Stanting) If an ASA I wanfarin INR 2-3 for	(NNH=200) ATC, USPSTF 68	clonidogrel 75mg OD or warfarin (INR target 2.5-3.5)	
	Clonidogral DI AVIV C	75mg OD CHIRE 45 CADDIE 44	96	* $\underline{\text{Signallg}} \rightarrow \text{II OII ASA} + warrarin for anticoagulation then D/C Plavix after > 1month.$	{high risk pts, i.e. CAPRIE	as useful alternatives $\frac{1}{2}$	
				bare metal; \geq 3month-sirolimus; \geq 6month-paclitaxel. If only	ASA 325mg/d 1.9 yrs; Bleeding:	◆ Combo: ASA+PLAVIX: ↑ efficacy but ↑ bleeding	
	Warfarin COUMADIN	1-10mg OD WARIS II 67	15	on ASA + Plavix \rightarrow then D/C Plavix after ~1 yr. ¹	GI=2.7%; All severe =1.6%}	{CURE NNT=48, NNH 99, over 9 months; MATCH ⁶⁹ post stroke NNH=77}	
OTH	DTHER : Spironolactone ALDACTONE 12.5-25mg OD \$8 for severe HF Class III-IV RALES 70; DI: $\uparrow K^+$ level with ACEI, \therefore monitor K^+ avoid if $K + \ge 5$ mmol/L & renal fx. {Eplerenone in USA: for select post-MI pts with LV dysfx EPHESUS 71}						
\$=retai	=Fixeptional Drug Status d=male &=female A1C=stycosylated hemoslobin ACEI=angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor ABB=angiotensin recentor blocker ATC=Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ABB=angiotensin enzyme inhibitor ABB=angiotensin recentor blocker ATC=Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ABB=angiotensin enzyme inhibitor ABB=angiotensin recentor blocker ATC=Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ABB=angiotensin enzyme inhibitor ABB=angiotensin recentor blocker ATC=Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ABB=angiotensin enzyme inhibitor ABB=angiotensin recentor blocker ATC=Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ABB=angiotensin enzyme inhibitor ABB=angiotensin recentor blocker ATC=Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ABB=angiotensin enzyme inhibitor enzyme inhibit						

s=retail cost s=retail cos

lack of vegetables, fruits, exercise (30-45 mins 3-5x/week or more) & alcohol (0-2drinks/d δ =14/week Q=9/week); Low HDL ≤ 1 , Family history of premature heart disease (Age: $\delta < 55$, Q < 65)⁷³, Microalbuminuria⁷³, renal dysfx ⁷⁴ & Age ($\delta > 55$, Q > 65).

Targets: $\underline{\mathbf{BP}}^{\text{Canadian 2004 (75)}}$: General <140/90; Diabetes < 130/80 if no proteinuria; <125/75 if proteinuria >1g/d.

LIPID Canadian 2003 (76) Post MI/High Risk → LDL <2.5; Total Cholesterol/HDL Ratio <4

GLUCOSE: Canadian 2003 (77) Target for most: A1C ≤7%; FPG 4-7 mmol/L; PPBG 2hr post 5-10 mmol/L if can be done safely without hypoglycemia.

Not recommended Post-MI: vitamin C, vitamin E & HRT¹ Lifestyle changes for DIET, EXERCISE & stop SMOKING!

References: RxFiles Post-MI

We would like to acknowledge the following contributors and reviewers: Dr. T. Wilson (Internal Medicine/Pharmacology), Dr. R. Basran (Cardiology), Dr. T. Laubscher (Fam. Medicine), Dr. G. Pylypchuk (Nephrology), Dr. D. Marciniuk (Respirology), Dr. B. Semchuk (Pharmacy), & the RxFiles Advisory Committee. D. Jorgenson Pharmb, L. Regier BSP, BA, B. Jensen BSP DiSCLAIMER: The content of this newsititer represents the research, experience and optitions of the authors and not those of the Board or Administration of Saskatoon Health Region (SHR). Neither the authors no: Saskatoon Health Region rany other party who has been involved in the Saskatoon Health Region (SHR). Neither the authors no: Saskatoon Health Region rany other party who has been involved in the Saskatoon Health Region (SHR). Neither the authors no: Saskatoon Health Region rany other party who has been involved in the Saskatoon Health Region (SHR). AIMEE: The content of this nonselinative represents the the induced and optimises of the authors and not those of the Baad or Antimitation of Sackatoon Health Region (SHR). Weither the authors no "Sackatoon Health Region not any other party who has been involution or patilicities of the two resultabilities of the neural datability of the used datability of the subset of the Baad or Antimitation or contained to the neural datability of the used datability of the used datability of subset on the base of the Baad or Antimitation or contained to the neural datability of the used datability of subset on the used datability o

¹Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI); A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Revise the 1999 Guidelines for the Management of patients with a circle valuon infocation information information information infocation information infocation info .org/clinical/guidelines/stemi/index.pdf

¹ acts audu de Destin Line, <u>intep/www.ninup/www.ninup/www.ninup/intergeovireatin/pp/dash</u> (access vernied 24 Sept, 2004)
 ⁵ Torp-Pedersen C, Kober L. Effect of ACE inhibitor trandolapril on life expectancy of patients with reduced left-ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction. <u>TRACE</u>. Trandolapril Cardiac Evaluation, Lancet. 1999 Jul 3;354(9172);9-12.
 ⁶ Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C, Carlsen JE, et al. A clinical trial of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor trandolapril in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Trandolapril Cardiac Evaluation (<u>TRACE</u>) Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1995 Dec 21;333(25):1670-6.
 ⁷ Pieffer MA, Braunwald E, Moye LA, et al. Effect of captopril on mortality and morbidity in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Results of the survival and ventricular enlargement trial. The <u>SAVE</u> Investigators. N Engl J Med. 1992 Sep 3;327(10):669-77.

⁸ Yusuf S, Sleight P, Pogue J, et al., The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) Study Investigators, Effects of an Angiotensin-Converting-Enzyme Inhibitor, Ramipril, on Cardiovascular Events in High-Risk Patients. N Engl J Med 2000 342: 145-153.
⁹ The EURopean trial On reduction of cardiac events with Perindopril in stable coronary Artery disease Investigators. Efficacy of perindopril in reduction of cardiovascular events with stable coronary artery disease:

⁹ The EURopean trial On reduction of cardiac events with Perindopril in stable coronary Artery disease Investigators. Efficacy of perindopril in reduction of cardiovascular events among patients with stable coronary artery disease: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial (the <u>EUROPA</u> study). Lancet 2003; 362: 782-88.
 ¹⁰ Bakris GL, Weir MR. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor-associated elevations in serum creatinine: is this a cause for concern? Arch Intern Med. 2000 Mar 13;160(5):685-93.
 ¹¹ Juurlink DN, Mamdani MM, Lee DS, et al. Rates of hyperkalemia after publication of the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study. N Engl J Med. 2004 Aug 5;351(6):543-51.
 ¹² Pfeffer MA, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, et al. Valsartain a Acute Myocardial Infarction Trial Investigators (<u>VALIANT</u>). Valsartan, captopril, or both in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both. N Engl J Med. 2003 Nov 13;349(20):1893-906. Epub 2003 Nov 10.
 ¹³ Dickstein K, Kjekshus J. Effects of losartain and captopril on mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients after acute myocardial infarction: the <u>OPTIMAAL</u> randomised trial. Optimal Trial in Myocardial Infarction with Angiotensin II Antagorist Losartan: (DPTIMAAL Study Group. Lancet 2002 Sep 7;360(9335):752-60.
 ¹⁴ Tran CT, Lee DS, Flintof VF, et al.; Canadian Cardiovascular Outcomes Research Team/Canadian Cardiovascular Society; Acute Myocardial Infarction quality Indicator Panel. CCORT/CCS quality indicators for acute myocardial infarction: cardiovascular Society; Acute Myocardial Infarction quality Indicator Panel. CCORT/CCS quality indicators for acute myocardial infarction care. Can J Cardiol. 2003 Jan;19(1):38-45.
 ¹⁴ Freamantle N, Cleland J, Young P, et al. Beta Blockade after myocardial infarction: post-mocardial infarction period. Rev Cardiovasc Med. 2003; 4Suppl 3:513-24.
 ¹⁶ Beathan M, Klein L, et

¹⁰ Freemantle N, Cleland J, Young P, et al. Beta Blockade after myocardial infarction: <u>systematic review</u> and meta regression analysis. BMJ. 1999 Jul 20;116(240);11/30-1.
 ¹¹ Borrello F, Beahan M, Klein L, et al. Reappraisal of beta-blocker therapy in the acute and chronic post-myocardial infarction period. Rev Cardiovasc Med. 2003;4 Suppl 3:S13-24.
 ¹⁸ Salpeter SR, Ormiston TM, Salpeter EE. Cardioselective beta-blockers in patients with reactive airway disease: a meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2002 Nov 5;137(9):715-25.
 ¹⁹ Heart Protection Study Group.MRC/BHF <u>HPS</u> study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2002 Jul 6;360(9326):7-22.
 ²⁰ Cannon C, Braunwald E, McCabe C, et al. Comparison of Intensive and Moderate Lipid Lowering with Statins after Acute Coronary Syndromes (<u>PROVE IT-TIMI 22</u>). New Engl J Med 2004; 350. Online April 8, 2004.

(RxFiles Q&A Summary: http://www.rtfiles.ca/acroba/Lipid-Q&A-three-Lipid []
²¹ de Lemos JA, Blazing MA, Wiviott SD, et al.; A to Z Investigators. Early intensive vs a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the <u>A to Z</u> trial. JAMA. 2004 Sep 15;292(11):1307-16.
²³ Schwartz G, Olsson A, Ezekowitz M, Ganz P, et al. Effects of advrastation on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes: (<u>MIRACL</u>). JAMA 2001;285:1711-8.
²³ Pasternak RC, Snith SC Jr, Bairey-Merz CN, et al.; American College of Cardiology, American Heart Association; National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute. <u>ACC/AHA/NHLBI</u> Clinical Advisory on the Use and <u>Safety of Statins</u>. Stroke. 2002

¹³ Pasternak RC, Smith SC Jr, Bairey-Merz CN, et al.; American College of Cardiology; American Heart Association; National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute. <u>ACCAHANHLBI</u> Clinical Advisory on the Use and <u>Safety of Statins</u>. Stroke. 2002 Sep;33(9):2337-41.
 ²⁴ NCEP Expert Panel. Executive summary-3rd national cholesterol education program on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285:12486-97. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Merz CN, et al.; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. <u>American College of Cardiology</u> Foundation; American Heart Association. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program <u>Adult Treatment Panel III</u> guidelines. Circulation <u>2004</u> Jul 13:110(2):227-39. <u>http://www.acc.org/clinical/adultopinos/neep report.pdf</u>
 ²⁵ Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration (<u>ATC</u>). Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ. 2002 Jan 12;324(7329):71-86.
 ²⁶ Lai KC, Lum SK, Chu KM, et al. Lansoprazole for the prevention of recurrences of ulcer complications from long-term low-dose aspirin use. N Eng J Med. 2002 Jun 27;346(26):2033-8.
 ²⁷ Do NSAIDs interfere with the cardioprotective effects of aspirin. Med Lett Mag 2, 2004 Vol 44 (Issue 1188) 61-62.
 ²⁸ Patel TN, Goldberg KC, Use of aspirin and ibuprofen compared with aspirin alone and the risk of mvocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med. 2004 Apr 26:164(99):825-6.

²⁸ Patel TN, Goldberg KC. Use of aspirin and ibuprofen compared with aspirin alone and the risk of myocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med. 2004 Apr 26;164(8):852-6.
²⁹ Mandani M, Juurlink DN, Lee DS, Rochon PA, Kopp A, Naglie G, Austin PC, Laupacis A, Stukel TA. Cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors versus non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and congestive heart failure outcomes in

²⁹ Mandani M, Juurink DN, Lee DS, Rochon PA, Kopp A, Naglie G, Austin PC, Laupacti A, Stukel TA. Cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors versus non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and congestive heart failure outcomes in elderly patients: a population-based cohort study. Lancet. 2004 May 29;363(9423):1751-6.
 ³⁰ Bombardier C, Laine L, Reicin A, et al. Comparison of upper gastrointestinal toxicity of rofecoxib and naproxen in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. <u>VIGOR</u> study group. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1520-8.
 ³¹ Effect of ramipril on mortality and morbidity of survivors of acute myocardial infarction with clinical evidence of heart failure. The Acute Infarction Ramipril Efficacy (<u>AIRE</u>) Study Investigators. Lancet. 1993 Oct 2;342(8875):821-8.
 ³² GISSL³: effects of lisinopril and transdermal glyceryl trinitrate singly and together on 6-week mortality and ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravivenza nell'Infarto Miocardico. Lancet. 1994 May 7;343(8906):1115-22.
 ³³ Packer M, Poole-Wilson PA, Armstrong PW, et al. Comparative effects of low and high doses of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, lisinopril, on morbidity and mortality in chronic heart failure. <u>ATLAS</u> Study Group. Circulation. 1999 Dec 7;100(23):2312-8.
 ³⁴ Swedberg K, Held P, Kjekshus J, et al. Effects of the early administration of enalapril on mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Results of the Cooperative New Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study II (<u>CONSENSUS II</u>) NEngl J Med. 1992 Sep 3;327(10):678-84.
 ³⁵ SitS-4: a randomised factorial trial assessing early oral captopril, oral mononitrate, and intravenous magnesium sulphate in 58,050 patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction. <u>ISIS-4</u> (Fourth International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative Group. Lancet. 1995 Mar 18;345(895):1669-85.
 ⁶⁶ Major Outcomes in High-Risk Hy

Collaborative Group, Lancet. 1995 Mar 18;345(8951):669-85. ³⁶ Major Outcomes in High-Risk Hypertensive Patients Randomized to Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor or Calcium Channel Blocker vs Diuretic. The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). The ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. JAMA. 2002;288:2981-2997. ³⁷ Granger CB, McMurray JJ, Yusuf S, et al. CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and reduced left-ventricular systolic function intolerant to angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors: the <u>CHARM-Alternative</u> trial. Lancet. 2003 Sep 6;362(9386):772-6. ³⁸ McMurray JJ, Ostergren J, Swedberg K, et al.; CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and reduced left-ventricular systolic function taking angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors: the <u>CHARM-Added</u> trial. Lancet. 2003 Sep 6;362(9386):767-71. ³⁹ Pavring HH, Lehnert H, Brochner-Mortensen J, et al. The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes (<u>IRMA II</u>). Irbesartan in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Microalbuminuria ^{Study} Group N Engl II Med 2001 Sep 02:0426(12):870.92</sup>

Study Group. N Engl J Med 2001 Sep 20;345(12):870-8.

⁴² Cicardi M, Zingale LC, Bergamaschini L, et al. Angioedema associated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor use: outcome after switching to a different treatment. Arch Intern Med. 2004 Apr 26;164(8):910-3.

⁴⁴ Cicardi M, Zingale LC, Bergamaschni L, et al. Angioedema associated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor use: outcome after switching to a different treatment. Arch Intern Med. 2004 Apr 26;164(8):910-3.
 ⁴³ Hjalmarson A, Elmfeldt D, Herlitz J, et al. Effect on mortality of metoprolol in acute myocardial infarction: a double blind randomised trial. *Lancet* 1981;ii:823-7.
 ⁴⁴ Janosi A, Ghali JK, Herlitz J, et al. MERIT-HF Study Group. Metoprolol CR/XL in **postmyocardial** infarctions, and well-being in patients with heart failure: experiences from <u>MERIT-HF</u>. Am Heart J. 2003 Oct;146(4):721-8.
 ⁴⁵ Hjalmarson A, Goldstein S, Fagerberg B, et al. Effects of controlled-release metoprolo on total mortality, hospitalizations, and well-being in patients with heart failure: the Metoprolol CR/XL Randomized Intervention Trial in congestive heart failure: (<u>MERIT-HF</u>). MERIT-HF Study Group. JAMA. 2000 Mar 8;283(10):1295-302.

⁴⁷ Randomized trial of intravenous atenciola among 16 027 cases of suspected acute myocardial infarction: **ISIS-1**. First International Study of Infarct Survival Collaborative Group. Lancet. 1986 Jul 12;2(8498):57-66.
 ⁴⁷ Dargie HJ. Effect of carvedilol on outcome after myocardial infarction in patients with left-ventricular dysfunction: the <u>CAPRICORN</u> randomised trial. Lancet. 2001 May 5;357(9266):1385-90.
 ⁴⁸ Pademsen TR. The Norvegian Multicenter Study of Timolol after Myocardial Infarction. Circulation. 1898 Jul 26;247(12):1707-14.
 ⁴⁹ Pedersen TR. The Norvegian Multicenter Study of Timolol after Myocardial Infarction. Circulation. 1983 Jun;76 (Pt 2):149-53.
 ⁵⁰ Boissel JP, Leizorovicz A, Picolet H, et al. Efficacy of acebutolol after acute myocardial infarction (**APSI** trial). The APSI Investigators. Am J Cardiol. 1990 Sep 25;66(9):24C-31C.
 ⁵¹ Which Beta-blocker. Med Lett 2001;43:9-11. & Drugs for Hypertension, Treatment Guidelines from the Medical Letter 2003; Vol 1 (Issue 6) 33-40.
 ⁵² Ko DT. Haber PB. Coffu CG at a J. Advance of from oblect reformed to the patients with heart following to ensuring the prediomized triale. Arab Integration 402:04 Jul 12;164(13):1290.04.

²¹ Which Beta-blocker. Med Lett 2001;43:9-11. & Drugs for Hypertension, Treatment Guudelines from the Medical Letter 2003; Vol 1 (Issue 6) 35-40.
 ²⁵ Ko DT, Hebert PR, Coffey CS, et al. Adverse effects of beta-blocker therapy for patients with heart failure: a quantitative overview of randomized trials. Arch Intern Med. 2004 Jul 12;164(13):1389-94.
 ³⁵ Borrello F, Beahan M, Klein L, et al. Reappraisal of beta-blocker therapy in the acute and chronic post-myocardial infarction period. Rev Cardiovasc Med. 2003;4 Suppl 3:S13-24.
 ⁴⁰ Poole-Wilson PA, Swedberg K, Cleland JG, et al.; Carvedilol Or Metoprolol European Trial Investigators. Comparison of carvedilol and metoprolol on clinical outcomes in patients with chronic heart failure in the Carvedilol Or Metoprolol European Trial (COMET): randomized trial of cholesterol by 014(53):971;7-13.
 ⁵⁵ Boardinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomized trial of cholesterol bowertamic pottatients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (45). Lancet 1994;344:1383-9.
 ⁵⁶ Boarde S, Curre Differ ad Development and cholesterol converting in advertamic to chose therapy contants with barearactinic in buscatansitics pottations. When a wareara oc lowertamic advertamic operations in the Angle-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcom

²⁷ Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (45). Lancet 1994;344:1383-9.
⁵⁶ Peter S Sever, Björn Dahlöf et al. Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial-Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial Lancet 2003; 361: 1149-58. Online April 2, 2003. [RxFiles Q&A Summary: http://www.rxfiles.ca/actobat/Lipid-Q&A-ASCOT.pdf]

Trial-Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial Lancet 2003; 361: 1149-58. Online April 2, 2003. [RxFiles Q&A Summary: http://www.rxfiles.ca/acrobat/Lipid-Q&A-ASCOT.pdf }
 ⁵⁷ Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischeamic Heart Disease (LPPD) Study Group. Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med 1998; 339:1349-1357.
 ⁵⁸ Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, et al. The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels (CARE). N Engl J Med 1996;335:1001-9.
 ⁵⁹ Carswell CL, Plosker GL, Jarvis B, Roauvastatin. Drugs. 2002;62(14):2075-85; discussion 2086-7.
 ⁶⁰ Rosuvastatin—a new lipid-lowering drug. Med Lett Drugs Ther. 2003 Oct 13;45(1167):81-3.
 ⁶¹ Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al.; PROSPER study group. PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;360:1623-30.
 ⁶³ Bhopherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al.; PROSPER study group. PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;360:1623-30.
 ⁶⁴ Bhopherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al.; PROSPER study group. PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;360:1623-30.
 ⁶⁴ Bhopherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy B, et al. The Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombotive Therapy: Evidence-Based Guidelines. Chest. 2004 Sep;126(3 Suppl):172S-3S.
 ⁶⁴ RxFiles Oral Antiplatet & Antithrombotic comparison Chart http://www.rxfiles.ca/acrobat/cht-A

⁶⁰ Hirsh J, Guyatt G, Albers GW, et al. The seventh ACCP Conference on Antitrombourc and <u>Intromotyper Linerapy</u>. Enderted based Guided resident of the provide sevent and the seventh ACCP Conference on Antitrombourc and <u>Intromotyper Linerapy</u>. Enderted Based Guided resident of the seventh ACCP Conference on Antitrombourc and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u> on Antitrombource and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u> and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u> on Antitrombource and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u> on Antitrombource and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u> and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u> on Antitrombource and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u> and <u>Intromotyper Conference</u>.

⁶⁹ Hayden M, Piginone M, Smith P, et al. Wartarin, aspira, or boin after impocation (<u>transform</u>) (start) and the primary prevention of cardiovascular events: a summary of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2002 Jan 15;136(2):161-72. ⁶⁹ Diener HC, Bogousslavsky J, Brass LM, et al.; MATCH investigators. Aspirin and clopidogrel compared with clopidogrel alone after recent ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack in high-risk patients (<u>MATCH</u>): randomised,

double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2004 Jul 24;364(9431):331-7.

⁷⁰ Effectiveness of spironolactone added to an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and a loop diuretic for severe chronic congestive heart failure (the Randomized Addactone Evaluation Study [<u>RALES</u>)). Am J Cardiol. 1996 Oct 15,78(8):902-7.
⁷¹ Pitt B, Remme W, Zannad F, et al.; Eplerenone Post-Acute Myocardial Infarction Heart Failure Efficacy and Study Investigators. (<u>EPHESUS</u>) Eplerenone, a selective aldosterone blocker, in patients with helf ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2003 Apr 3;348(14):1309-21.
⁷² Yust S, Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the <u>INTERHEART</u> study): case-control study. Lancet 2004 (online version published Sept 3,2004)
⁷³ The Sevent Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, & Treatment of High Blood Pressure (The <u>INC 7</u>); JAMA. 2003 May;289(19):2560-72. (Complete report in Hypertension 2003;42:1206-1252)
⁷⁴ Anavekar NS, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, et al. Relation between renal dysfunction and cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2004 Sep 23;351(13): 1285-95.

⁷⁴ Anavekar NS, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, et al. Relation between renal dysfunction and cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2004 Sep 23;51(13):128>-95.
 ⁷⁵ The <u>2004 Canadian Hypertension</u> Education Program. <u>Recommendations www.chs.md</u> Khan NA, McAlister FA, Campbell NR, Feldman RD, et al.; Canadian Hypertension Education Program. The 2004 Canadian recommendations for the management of hypertension: Part II–Therapy. Can J Cardiol. 2004 Jan;20(1):41-54.
 ⁷⁶ Genest J, Frohlich J, Fodor G, et al.; Working Group on <u>Hypercholesterolemia</u> and Other Dyslipidemias. Recommendations for the management of dyslipidemia and the prevention of cardiovascular disease: summary of the <u>Canadian 2003 Diabetes Guidelines http://www.diabetes.ca/cpg2003/ddownload.aspx</u> Harris SB, Lank CN. Recommendations from the Canadian Diabetes Association. 2003 guidelines for prevention and management of diabetes and related cardiovascular lisk factors. Can Fam Physician. 2004 Mar;50:425-33.
 (Meltzer S L viert L. Dameman D. et al 1998. Clinical Invactice guidelines for the management of diabetes in Canada. CMAJ 1998;159 (8 Suppl)).

(Meltzer S, Leiter L, Daneman D, et al 1998. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of diabetes in Canada. CMAJ 1998;159 (8 Suppl)). NOTE: Additional RxFiles Related Materials & Drug Comparison Charts: see www.rxFiles.ca {eg. Lipid Landmark Trials; Comparison Charts: ACEI, Beta-Blocker, Antithrombotic, Lipid Lowering}