ELIXA: Lixisenatide LYXUMIA, ADLYXIN CV Outcomes Trial Summary¹

Lixisenatide: Cardiovascular (CV) Outcomes and Mortality in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)

and Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS)

In patients with T2DM and recent ACS, does lixisenatide reduce CV risk compared to placebo when added to standard care?

BOTTOM LINE¹

- Lixisenatide versus placebo plus standard care: not too bad but nothing really good either. (Note currently not available in Canada) July 2016
- Neutral CV results. Achieved non-inferiority, but no indication of any particular CV outcome benefit.
- Serious adverse events (SAE) similar to placebo (plus standard care); specifically: pancreatitis, pancreatic neoplasms, or allergic reactions.
- Adverse events leading to discontinuation was higher with lixisenatide vs. placebo (plus standard care) (NNH=24/2.1 YEARS).
- Neutral CV results with ELIXA and TECOS trials somewhat disappointing given recent trials with positive CV results (i.e. LEADER, EMPA-REG, SUSTAIN-6) (see related RxFiles Trial Summaries & Outcome Comparison Chart).
- Reasonably safe choice for patients who cannot take a recommended second-line diabetes agent; however, compared to placebo + standard care, for every 28 patients on lixisenatide for ~2.1 years, 1 additional patient will discontinue the drug due to a GI adverse event.

BACKGROUND

- Lixisenatide (LYXUMIA) is a glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP1-A) approved in the European Union in 2013 for use in patients with T2DM as an add-on to metformin, pioglitazone, SU and/or basal insulin.^{2,3} Approved by the FDA July 2016.
- Non-inferior outcome trial mandated by the FDA to ensure CV safety in the "post-rosiglitazone era".⁴

TRIAL BACKGROUND^{1,5-7}

DESIGN: Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, international (49 countries) multi-centre trial with a 1 week run-in phase. Non-inferiority analysis (PP population) for primary efficacy outcome followed by superiority analysis (ITT population). Funding: Sanofi (LYXUMIA manufacturer). Enrollment/Follow-up period: 2010- 2015.

INTERVENTION: Lixisenatide 20mcg subcut vs.placebo, added to existing therapy.

- -patients were randomized to lixisenatide 10 mcg subcut daily which was increased after 2 weeks to a maximum of 20 mcg at investigators' discretion. INCLUSION: T2DM patients with recent (<180 days) ACS event (median time to randomization: 72 days post-ACS event).
- EXCLUSION: Age <30 yrs, PCI within previous 15 days, CABG following qualifying ACS event, planned coronary revascularization ≤90d after screening, eGFR<30ml/min/1.73m², HbA1c<5.5% or >11%, irritable bowel disease, history of medullary thyroid cancer, unexplained pancreatitis or chronic pancreatitis.
- POPULATION at baseline: n= 6068, age 60 ± 9.7yrs, ~69% ♂, eGFR 76± 21(mL/min/1.73m²), LDL 2.03± 0.9 mmol/L, SBP 130 ± 17mmHg; North America 13.3%, Eastern Europe 26%, South or Central America 32%; Caucasian ~75%, Asian ~12.7%, African-American/Black ~3.7%
 <u>CV comorbidity/risk factors:</u> MI 22%, PCI 67%, CABG 8.4%, HTN 76%, stroke 5%, PAD 7%, HF 22%, HbA1c 7.7% ± 1.3; current smoker 11.7%, BMI 30.1 ± 5.7 kg/m²; Weight: ~85 ± 19.4 kg; T2DM duration 9.3 ± 8.2 yrs

Qualifying ACS event: NSTEMI 39%, STEMI 44%, unstable angina 17.2%, unclassified 0.2%,

Medications: metformin 66.3%, insulin 39.1%, SU 33%, TZD 1.6%, ACEI/ARB 85%, BB 84%, statin 93%, antiplatelet 97.5%

RESULTS ¹	Follow-up: median 2.1 year							
TABLE 1: EFFICACY & SAFETY NO	H = number nee	needed to Treat for Benefit / Harm}						
CLINICAL ENDPOINTS ITT ANALYSIS	LIXISENATIDE (20MCG) n=3034	PLACEBO n=3034	HR (95% CI)	P VALUE	ARR/ARI	NNT/ <mark>NNH</mark> /25 mon.	Сомментя	
PRIMARY ENDPOINT								
CV death, non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke or unstable angina (first event)	13.4% (n=406)	13.2% (n=399)	1.02 (0.89-1.17)	P<0.001 (non-inferiority) 0.81 (superiority)	No. 27 No. 12 No. 13 No. 12 No. 13 No. 13 No		 HbA1c ↓ by 0.27% (-0.31 to -0.22%). Systolic BP ↓ by 	
- CV death (all events)	5.1% (n=156)	5.2% (n=158)	0.98 (0.78-1.22)	0.85			0.8mmHg	
- MI (all events)	8.9% (n=270)	8.6% (n=261)	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	0.71			(-1.3 to -0.3mmHg).	
SECONDARY ENDPOINTS						24 St Marths	 Weight ↓ by 0.7 kg 	
1 ^o endpoint or hospitalization for HF	15% (n=456)	15.5% (n=469)	0.97 (0.85-1.10)	0.63	No. at Risk Racelo X214 2759 Linearsatule X214 2715	3565 476 1556 464	(-0.9-to -0.5kg). • 85.5% in lixisenatide	
1 ^o endpoint, hospitalization for HF or revascularization	21.8% (n=661)	21.7% (n=659)	1.00 (0.90-1.11)	0.96			group took max dose, <mark>96.5%</mark> in placebo took	
Hospitalization for HF	4% (n=122)	4.2% (n=127)	0.96 (0.75-1.23)	0.75			volume matched max	
-with no prior HF	2.4% (56/2352)	2.5% (58/2358)	0.97 (0.67-1.40)	0.87 for			dose at end of the	
-with prior HF	9.7% (66/682)	10.2% (69/676)	0.93 (0.66-1.30)	interaction			study.	
Death from any cause	7% (n=211)	7.4% (n=223)	0.94 (0.78-1.13)	0.5			-	

TABLE 2: ADVERSE EVENTS	{NNT/H = number needed to Treat for Benefit / Harm}							
CLINICAL ENDPOINTS	LIXISENATIDE n=3034	PLACEBO n=3034	P VALUE	ARR/ARI (Pooled)	NNT/ <mark>NNH</mark> /25 MON.	Comments		
Adverse events leading to discontinuation	11.4% (n=347)	7.2% (n=217)	<0.001	4.2%	24	-similar rates of SAEs, severe hypoglycaemia, pancreatitis, pancreatic		
GI event leading to discontinuation	4.9% (n=149)	1.2% (n=37)	< 0.001	3.7%	28	neoplasms, or allergic reactions		
Nausea	3% (n=91)	0.4% (n=11)	< 0.001	2.6%	39	compared to placebo.		
Vomiting	1.1% (n=33)	0.2% (n=5)	< 0.001	0.9%	112	-pancreatitis: 5 pts in lixisenatide vs. 8 p		
Serious adverse events (SAE)	20.6% (n=625)	22.1% (n=669)				in placebo group.		
Hypoglycaemic events	16.6% (n=504)	15.2% (n=462)	p=0.14			-pancreatic cancer: 3 pts in lixisenatide vs.		
Urine albumin/creatinine ratio	+26%	+32%	0.07			 9 pts in placebo group. -allergic reaction: 27 pts in lixisenatide vs. 25 pts in placebo group. 		

STRENGTHS, LIM	ITATIONS, & UNCERTAINTIES ¹
STRENGTHS:	 First published GLP1-A CV outcome trial. Well-designed RCT (properly randomized [allocation concealment, balanced baseline demographics]; registered; appropriately powered; all CV outcomes were pre-specified & clinically relevant; independent, blinded adjudication of CV, pancreatic, and allergic events).
	 96.3% in treatment group and 96.1% in placebo group completed study (among those who did not die); vital status not confirmed for ~1% of patients.
	 ITT and PP analyses were performed for primary endpoints. Sensitivity analysis (e.g., adjustment for eGFR, HbA1c, and history of stroke; exclusion of CV events occurring greater than 30 days after drug discontinuation) did not result in statistically significant changes.
	 Primary composite endpoint consistent (homogeneous) among subgroups.
	• Serious hypoglycemic episodes requiring intervention by another person was lower in lixisenatide group (14 patients reporting 16 events) than placebo group (24 patients reporting 37 events).
LIMITATIONS:	 Study medication was discontinued in 27.5% in treatment and 24% in placebo group (P=0.002); GI AE was the most common reason for discontinuation.
	• Short trial duration (2.1 years).
	 No adjustments made for multiplicity of exploratory outcomes (risk of false-positive result [type 1 error]).
	 Non-inferiority margin of 1.3 was arbitrarily set by the FDA, and thus may not represent a minimally-clinically important difference to clinicians or patients.
UNCERTAINTIES:	• Applicability of results to patients without established CVD or with more complicated coexisting illnesses.
	• Lack of real-world data for long-term safety. Statistically significant ↑ risk for pancreatitis or neoplasms was not shown in this trial or the other incretin trials. However, the authors acknowledge the follow-up may not have been long enough to rule out this possibility. ⁵
	 Effect of lixisenatide on microvascular outcomes (e.g., retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy) as these may take 5-10+ years to develop and median trial follow-up was 2.1 years.
	 Currently it is available in the UK for about £54.14 for 28 days and unavailable in Canada or the US.⁶
	 A study examining the cost/QALY gained of exenatide vs. lixisenatide in T2DM patients (looking at T2DM complications, weight, adverse effects and costs) with a 40-year time horizon showed that lixisenatide is not as cost-effective compared to exenatide. Cost/ QALY gained with exenatide versus liraglutide was £10,002 compared with much lower costs for dulaglutide and liraglutide.⁸

• Trials recently completed for GLP1-A: SUSTAIN6^{(semaglutide); results not published in peer-reviewed trial} and ongoing: EXSCEL^(exenatide)(2018), REWIND^(dulaglutide)(2018), HARMONY^(albiglutide)(2019).

HOW DOES ELIXA COMPARE TO OTHER DIABETES TRIALS?¹

- Neutral CV outcomes for lixisenatide similar to EXAMINE⁹ (alogliptin add-on vs. usual care) and TECOS¹⁰ (sitagliptin as an add-on vs. usual standard of care).
 - 0
 - In alogliptin^{EXAMINE}, 88% of the patients had a history of MI while lixisenatide^{ELIXA} had only 22%.^{9,11} In alogliptin^{EXAMINE}, patients were more unstable compared to lixisenatide^{ELIXA} (45days vs. 72 days from index ACS case to randomization).8
- Lack of beneficial outcomes for lixisenatide compared to EMPA-REG¹² (empagliflozin add-on vs. usual care), LEADER¹³ (liraglutide as an add-on vs. usual standard of care), and SUSTAIN-6¹⁴ (semaglutide add-on vs. usual care; results not yet published in peer-reviewed trial).
 - Since T2DM patients have a 2-3x increased risk for developing CV disease and >60% of deaths are due to CV complications⁵, agents with promising CV protection like empagliflozin, ^{EMPA-REG} liraglutide, ^{LEADER} and semaglutide ^{SUSTAIN-6} (not available in CAN</sup>) may be preferred.

Remember...

• For vascular protection, CDA 2013 (updated 2016) recommends: lifestyle (nutrition, exercise, smoking cessation); optimal HbA1c control (usually < 7%), BP control (<130/80 mmHg), and cholesterol control (LDL <2 mmol/L); and lastly CV protective drugs (i.e., ACEI/ARB, statin, ASA [if</p> indicated]).15

RELATED RxFiles LINKS

- RxFiles Diabetes Agents Outcomes Table: http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Diabetes-Agents-Outcomes-Comparison-Summary-Table.pdf
- RxFiles Diabetes Landmark Trials and Links: http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/CHT-Diabetes-Landmark-Trials-Links.pdf
- RxFiles Diabetes—EMPA-REG: CV Outcomes Trial Summary http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/EMPA-REG%20Trial%20Summary.pdf
- RxFiles Diabetes—LEADER: CV Outcomes Trial Summary <u>http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Leader-</u> Liraglutide%20VICTOZA%20and%20Cardiovascular%20Outcomes%20in%20Type%202%20Diabetes.pdf
- RxFiles Diabetes—TECOS CV Outcomes Trial Summary http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/TECOS-Trial-Summary.pdf

🗶 =non-formulary in SK ⊗=not covered by NIHB 🕿 Exceptional Drug Status in SK 🗣=female 👌=male AE=adverse event ACS=acute coronary syndrome BMI=body mass index CABG=coronary artery bypass graft CAD=coronary artery disease CV= cardiovascular CVD=cardiovascular disease DPP4-I=dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor eGFR= estimated glomerular filtration rate FDA= Food and Drug Administration GI=gastrointestinal GLP1-A-glucagon-like peptide-1 agonist HbA1c= hemoglobin A1c HF=heart failure HTN=hypertension LDL=low density lipoprotein NSTEMI= non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction MI=myocardial infarction NR=not reported PAD=peripheral artery disease PCI= percutaneous intervention SBP=systolic blood pressure SGLT2-I-sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor SU=sulfonylurea STEMI= ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction subcut= subcutaneous T2DM=type 2 diabetes mellitus T2D=thiazolidinedione

RXFILES TRIAL SUMMARY

References:

- 1. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al. Lixisenatide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome. (ELIXA) N Engl J Med. 2015 Dec 3;373(23):2247-57.
- SANOFI patient leaflet: Lyxumia Solution for Injection. <u>http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/27399/PIL/Lyxumia+Solution+for+Injection</u> Accessed June 27/ 2016.
 PMLive: Sanofi launches diabetes drug Lyxumia in the UK. May 2, 2013. <u>http://www.pmlive.com/pharma_news/sanofi_launches_diabetes_drug_lyxumia_in_the_uk_474777</u> Accessed June 27, 2016
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Guidance for industry: diabetes mellitus—evaluating cardiovascular risk in new antidiabetic therapies to treat type 2 diabetes. Available:
- www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm071627.pdf (accessed 25 July 2016).
- 5. Bentley-Lewis R, Aguilar D, Riddle MC, Et al. Rationale, design, and baseline characteristics in Evaluation of Lixisenatide in Acute Coronary Syndrome, a long-term cardiovascular end point trial of lixisenatide versus placebo. Am Heart J. 2015 May;169(5):631-638.e7.
- 6. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al. Investigators. Protocol for: Lixisenatide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome. (ELIXA) N Engl J Med. 2015 Dec 3;373(23):2247-57.
- 7. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al. Investigators. Supplement to: Lixisenatide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome. (ELIXA) N Engl J Med. 2015 Dec 3:373(23):2247-57.
- 8. Chuang LH, Verheggen BG, Charokopou M, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of exenatide once-weekly versus dulaglutide, liraglutide and lixisenatide for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: an analysis from the UK NHS perspective. J Med Econ. 2016 Jun 16:1-27.
- 9. White WB, Cannon CP, Heller SR, et al. Alogliptin after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes. (EXAMINE) N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1327-35. 10. Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, et al. Effect of Sitagliptin on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (TECOS) N Engl J Med. 2015 Jul 16;373(3):232-42. doi:
- 10.1056/NEJMoa1501352. Epub 2015 Jun 8. Erratum in: N Engl J Med. 2015 Aug 6;373(6):586.
- Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Probstfield JL. To the Editor: Lixisenatide in Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome . N Engl J Med. 2016 Mar17;374(11):1095-6.
 Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al.; EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. (EMPA-REG) N Engl J Med. 2015 Sep 17.
- 13. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (LEADER) N Engl J Med. 2016 Jun 13
- 14. Nainggolan L. Now Novo Says Semaglutide Cuts CV Risk: SUSTAIN-6 Top-line Data. http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/862644. Accessed 26 July 2016.
- 15. Quick Reference Guide for all Patients with Diabetes: ABCDEs.http://guidelines.diabetes.ca/vascularprotection/abcdes. Accessed 16 July 2016.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Contributors & Reviews: Prepared By: Jialin Liu with help from Shelby Kiefer and Chelsey Boyle. Reviewers: Loren Regier, Brent Jensen, Lynette Kosar, Marlys LeBras.

DISCLAIMER: The content of this newsletter represents the research, experience and opinions of the authors and not those of the Board or Administration of Saskatoon Health Region (SHR). Neither the authors nor Saskatoon Health Region nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the newsletter will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of SHR, its employees, servants or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. Additional information and references online at www.RxFiles.ca Copyright 2016 – RxFiles, Saskatoon Health Region (SHR)