

ENHANCE¹: Simvastatin with or without Ezetimibe in Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (FH) June/08

ENHANCE Trial Overview:

- ◆ a **24 month**, prospective, randomized, double-blind, active comparator multi-center study completed in **2006**
- ◆ 2 treatment arms (both with 6 week placebo run-in): **Simvastatin 80mg** (n=363) vs **Simvastatin 80mg + Ezetimibe 10mg** ^{VYTORIN} (n=357)
 - NOTE: n = 720 for initial and safety analysis; N = 642 for ITT efficacy analysis (Monotherapy: n = 320, Combo: n = 322)
- ◆ **720 patients**: ~46yr, ♂ 51%, avg BMI 27[↑] in combo arm, diabetes 29%, HTN 51%, ~BP 125/78, smoking 29%, previous MI 6%, Framingham 10^{yr} risk: ♂=13%, ♀=9%
- ◆ **Previous statin use**: 82% in Simvastatin group, 80% in Combination group
- ◆ **Pts included** if LDL >5.4mmol/L (after the 6 week) run-in and heterozygous FH; **Pts excluded** if: ↑↑ stenosis or occlusion of carotid artery, homozygous FH, history of carotid artery stenting or carotid endarterectomy, NYHA class III or IV heart failure, arrhythmias, angina, or recent CV events
- ◆ **Baseline data**: mean intima-media thickness (IMT) of carotid artery avg of 6 segments 0.7 vs 0.69mm, ~**LDL 8.2mmol/L**, C-reactive protein 1.7mg/L

Table 1: ENHANCE Results (Simvastatin 80mg daily vs Combination of Simvastatin 80mg + Ezetimibe 10mg daily)

Endpoints (Reductions in size or concentration, not event rates)	Simvastatin (n = 320) Change from baseline (%)	Simvastatin + Ezetimibe (n = 322) Change from baseline (%)	p value
1° Mean change in carotid artery intima-media thickness (mm±SE) (Both groups ↑ thickness, but combination trended to more of an increase)	0.0058±0.0037	0.0111±0.0038	0.29
Differences not statistically significant			
2° Mean change in femoral artery intima-media thickness (mm±SE)	-0.0067±0.0132	0.0182±0.0135	0.16
Differences not statistically significant			
2° Total Cholesterol change (mmol/L)	-3.3 (-32)	-4.7 (-45)	<0.01
2° LDL (mmol/L)	-3.2 (-39)	-4.6 (-56)	<0.01
2° C-reactive protein (mg/L)	1.2 (-24)	0.9 (-49)	<0.01

Other surrogate results: ↑HDL 8 vs 10%, ↓Triglycerides 23 vs 30%, ↓Apolipoprotein B 33 vs 47%, ↔ Apolipoprotein A1 7 vs 6%

Table 2: ENHANCE Adverse Events Results (Simvastatin 80mg daily vs Combination of Simvastatin 80mg + Ezetimibe 10mg daily)

Adverse Events % (# of events)	Simvastatin (n = 363)	Simvastatin + Ezetimibe (n = 357)	Absolute risk increase %	p value
Rate of discontinuation due to AE	9.4% (34)	8.1% (29)	-1.3% NS	0.56
Adverse events	29.5% (107)	34.2% (122)	4.7% NS	0.18
↑ in ALT or AST or both >3 x ULN	2.2% (8/360)	2.8% (10/356)	0.6% NS	0.62
↑ in CK >10 x ULN	2.2% (8/360)	1.1% (4/356)	-1.1% NS	0.25
Myopathy -↑ in CK >10 x ULN assoc'd muscle symptoms	0.27% (1)	0.56% (2)	0.29% NS	
Cardiovascular events *	1.9% (7)	2.8% (10)	0.9% NS	
Deaths from Cardiovascular cause	0.27% (1)	0.56% (2)	0.29% NS	

* Cardiovascular events: Non-fatal MI 2 vs 3 events, non-fatal stroke 1 vs 1 event, coronary revascularization 5 vs 6 events NS= not statistically significant

Of Note:

- ◆ **Efficacy:** **No clinical outcomes data** – short 2yr study, underpowered to show clinical outcomes; however, a modest positive correlation between IMT (a validated surrogate marker) and coronary atherosclerosis has been shown in 30 of 34 studies reviewed by Brown, et al.²
- ◆ **Safety:** No significant difference in efficacy or adverse effects seen, however being a short trial, it lacks long-term effects data.
- ◆ Results took quite a while to be published; congressional hearings expressed concern that they may have been delayed to protect Ezetrol sales?
- ◆ These patients have “low” baseline IMT (relative to other studies)².
- ◆ Despite significant reduction in LDL & CRP in the combination group no primary benefit on atherosclerosis over statin monotherapy.
- ◆ (**Caution with surrogate markers:** ie. α-blockers vs thiazides in ALLHAT trial: both ↓BP, but ↑HF&stroke with doxazosin; HRT: ↓LDL, but ↑MI, stroke, clots & breast cancer; in ACCORD: ↓A1C, but ↑mortality)
- ◆ Some concern regarding the trend to worsen IMT when hypothesis hoped for a benefit.

What we knew and what these results add to our knowledge:

- ◆ FH¹ in 500 people: most 45yo patients have been on lipid meds for 1 or 2 decades – recent theory suggests that plaques stabilize with continued lipid-lowering therapy, thus are not easily reduced with progressive treatment². Conversely, studies have shown that statins alone slow or even ↓ IMT².
- ◆ Ezetimibe approved in the USA in 2002^{Canada in 2003}, concerns have been raised regarding extent of use & promotion despite lack of evidence:
 - **no clinical outcomes data** – not likely until 2012 (IMPROVE-IT trial: Simvastatin 40mg vs Simv 40mg+Ezetimibe 10mg in 18000 pts with recent ACS), almost a decade later.
 - ↑ Ezetimibe prescriptions: especially in the USA (From 2003→2006: U.S. 0.1→15.2%, Canada 0.2→3.4%, of all lipid-lowering medications).³
 - USA direct advertising \$200 million/yr; 1 in 6 of all lipid↓ prescriptions & had **\$5 billion sales** in 2007 → “Marketing beyond science” –Dr.S.Nissen.
- ◆ **Statins not only lower LDL but have proven benefit in ↓ morbidity & mortality**, however relative statin use has declined in the U.S. since 2002².
 - **2° prevention trials:** 4S^{↓total mortality}, LIPID^{↓cardiac death}, CARE^{↓MI/cardiac death}, HPS^{↓fatal/non-fatal vascular event}, TNT^{high dose in stable coronary pts}, Ideal^{high dose after MI}
 - **1° prevention trials:** CARDS^{↓1st CHD event in diabetics}, ASCOT^{↓MI/cardiac death in high risk hypertensives}, WOSCOPS^{↓MI/cardiac death in higher risk Scottish males}, & AFCAPS^{↓1st CV event}
- ◆ ↓ LDL with statins is beneficial, but benefit/risk of LDL reduction with ezetimibe is unknown. Note: Torcetrapib did ↓ LDL & ↑HDL but ↑ mortality in phase III trials.
- ◆ **Combinations** further lower LDL, but **cardiovascular outcome benefit cannot be assumed** (eg. statins with either fibrates or ezetimibe)

Questions remaining:

- ◆ Would clinical outcomes be better or worse with ezetimibe? →Awaiting IMPROVE-IT trial (results likely 2012 or 2013).
- ◆ Would different results be expected in patients with more advanced IMT, if statin naïve, or in other subtypes of hypercholesterolemia?
- ◆ Is the benefit from statins **beyond** an LDL reduction? Is the correct amount of importance placed on this one surrogate?
- ◆ Would results have been different if a low-moderate dose statin + ezetimibe was compared to high-dose statin monotherapy?

TAKE HOME: Ezetimibe still lacks evidence for reducing CV events. Lack of benefit on atherosclerosis in ENHANCE calls into question whether it offers any clinical benefit. Statins have the cornerstone of evidence for lipid therapy.

AE=adverse event BP=blood pressure dx=diagnosis FH=familial hypercholesterolemia fx=function HDL=high density lipoprotein HF=heart failure HTN=hypertension hx=history IMT=intra-media thickness LDL=low density lipoprotein MI=myocardial infarction Pt=patient SE=side effect sx=symptoms tx=treatment ULN=upper limit of normal www.RxFiles.ca Prepared by: Z. Dumont, B. Jensen, L. Regier

References:

- 1 Kastelein JJP, Akdim F et al. Simvastatin with or without Ezetimibe in Familial Hypercholesterolemia. NEJM 2008;358:14:1431-1443
- 2 Brown BG, Taylor AJ. Does ENHANCE Diminish Confidence in Lowering LDL or in Ezetimibe? NEJM 2008;358:14:1504-1507
- 3 Jackevicius CA, Tu JV et al. Use of Ezetimibe in the United States and Canada. NEJM 2008;10.1056:1-10

Additional references:

Accord trail <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/heart/other/accord/remarks.pdf>

ALLHAT Working Group. Major cardiovascular events in hypertensive patients randomized to doxazosin vs chlorthalidone: the antihypertensive and lipid-lowering treatment to prevent heart attack trial (ALLHAT). JAMA 2000;283:1967-75.

Amarenco P, et al.; Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (**SPARCL**) Investigators. High-dose atorvastatin after stroke or transient ischemic attack. N Engl J Med. 2006 Aug 10;355(6):549-59.

Barter PJ, Caulfield M, Eriksson M, et al. **ILLUMINATE** Investigators. Effects of torcetrapib in patients at high risk for coronary events. N Engl J Med. 2007 Nov 22;357(21):2109-22. Epub 2007 Nov 5.

Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22 Investigators. Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. (**PROVE IT**) N Engl J Med. 2004 Apr 8;350(15):1495-504. Epub 2004 Mar 8.

Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (**CARDS**). Lancet 2004;364:685-96.

Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, et al. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels. Results of (**AFCAPS/ITexCAPS**). JAMA 1998;279:1615-22.

Echt DS, Liebson PR, Mitchell LB, Peters RW, Obias-Manno D, Barker AH, Arensberg D, Baker A, Friedman L, Greene HL, et al. Mortality and morbidity in patients receiving encainide, flecainide, or placebo. The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial. (**CAST**) N Engl J Med. 1991 Mar 21;324(12):781-8.

Fleg JL, Mete M, Howard BV, et al. Effect of statins alone versus statins plus ezetimibe on carotid atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes: the **SANDS** (Stop Atherosclerosis in Native Diabetics Study) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008 Dec 16;52(25):2198-205.

Heart Protection Study Group.MRC/BHF **HPS** study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2002 Jul 6;360(9326):7-22. (11,609 of 32,145 pts in 4-6 wk run in treatment with simvastatin 40mg/d were excluded)

Heart Protection Study Group.MRC/BHF **HPS** study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 5,963 people with diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2003 Jun 14;361(9374):2005-16..

LaRosa JC, Grundy SM, Waters DD.; Treating to New Targets (**TNT**) Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med. 2005 Apr 7;352(14):1425-35. Epub 2005 Mar 8.

LIPID Study Group (Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease). Long-term effectiveness and safety of pravastatin in 9014 patients with coronary heart disease and average cholesterol concentrations: the LIPID trial follow-up. Lancet. 2002 Apr 20;359(9315):1379-87.

Nissen SE and Wolski K. Effect of rosiglitazone on the risk of myocardial infarction and death from cardiovascular causes. N Engl J Med 2007;DOI 10.1056/NEJMoa072761

Nissen SE, Tardif JC, Nicholls SJ, et al. **ILLUSTRATE** Investigators. Effect of torcetrapib on the progression of coronary atherosclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2007 Mar 29;356(13):1304-16. Epub 2007 Mar 26.

Nissen SE, Wolski K, Topol EJ. Effect of muraglitazar on death and major adverse cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. JAMA. 2005 Nov 23;294(20):2581-6. Epub 2005 Oct 20.

Nissen SE, et al. Effect of Very High-Intensity Statin (rosuvastatin 40mg/d, 2yr, n=507) Therapy on Regression of Coronary Atherosclerosis: The **ASTEROID** Trial.JAMA. 2006 Mar 13; [Epub ahead of print]

Pedersen TR, Faergeman O, et al. High-Dose Atorvastatin vs Usual-Dose Simvastatin for Secondary Prevention After Myocardial Infarction: The **IDEAL** Study: A Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA.2005Nov16;294(19):2437-2445.

Peter S Sever, Björn Dahlöf et al. Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial–Lipid Lowering Arm (**ASCOT-LLA**): a multicentre randomised controlled trial Lancet 2003; 361: 1149-58. Online April 2, 2003.

Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, et al. The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels (**CARE**). N Engl J Med 1996;335:1001-9.

Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (**4S**). Lancet 1994;344:1383-9.

Shepherd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia (**WOSCOPS**). N Engl J Med 1995;333:1383-9.

Women's Health Initiative (**WHI**). Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in health postmenopausal women. Principal results from the Womens Health Initiative Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA 2002;288:321-33.

DISCLAIMER: The content of this newsletter represents the research, experience and opinions of the authors and not those of the Board or Administration of Saskatoon Health Region (SHR). Neither the authors nor Saskatoon Health Region nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the newsletter will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of SHR, its employees, servants or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. Additional information and references online at www.RxFiles.ca
Copyright 2008 – RxFiles, Saskatoon Health Region (SHR) www.RxFiles.ca
