	Vitamin K	DOAC DOAC			
Drug / BRAND	Antagonist	Thrombin Inhibitor Factor <u>Xa</u> Inhibitors			
	Warfarin	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban
	COUMADIN, g	PRADAXA, g	XARELTO, g	ELIQUIS, g	LIXIANA, g; SAVAYSA
Landmark AF RCTs	Active	RE-LY 1	ROCKET-AF <sup>2</sup>	ARISTOTLE 3	ENGAGE-AF <sup>4</sup>
		N=18,113 randomized 1:1:1	N=14,264	N=18,201	N=21,105 randomized 1:1:1 edox
Comparing to	comparator. Efficacy / safety	dabi 110mg or 150mg BID	riva 20mg* daily vs warf (TTR 55%)	apix 5mg* BID vs warf (TTR	30mg* or 60*mg daily
Warfarin non-	demonstrated in	vs warf (TTR 64%)	ITT; double-blind, RCT	62%) ITT; double-blind RCT	vs warf (TTR 65%)
inferiority analysis;	multiple RCTs	ITT; open-label RCT	*20% (n=1474) on riva had CrCl	*4.7% (n=428) on apix had	ITT; double-blind RCT
superiority if	(e.g. ACTIVE-W) &	Note: 75mg dose not studied	30-49mL/min → 15mg daily	≥2: ≥80yr, SCr ≥133 mcmol/L,	* $\downarrow$ dose by 50% (i.e. to 15mg or 30mg) if $\geq$ 1 of: CrCl 30-50mL/min, or $\leq$ 60kg, or
appropriate	meta-analyses.			≤60kg → 2.5mg BID	on verapamil/quinidine/dronedarone
	1	√√?	√?	√√?	<b>√ √</b> ?
↓ Stroke /	•	NNT≈88/2yr	riva vs warf NS	NNT≈167/1.8yr	NNT≈141/2.8yr
Systemic Embolism	absolute differences minimal	2.2% dabi 150mg vs 3.4% warf;	only per-protocol met non-	2.3% apix vs 2.9% warf	2.6% edox 60mg vs 3.3% warf
Systemic Embonsin	when TTR≥65%	dabi 110mg vs warf NS	inferiority, not ITT		ITT non-infer, mITT superior;
					edox 30mg vs warf NS
	×	✓	✓	✓	✓
Intracranial	low incidence				
Hemorrhage	but↑ rates in	NNT≈116/2yr	NNT≈250/1.6yr	NNT≈128/1.8yr	NNT≈99/2.8yr
	RCTs vs DOAC	0.6% dabi 150mg vs 1.5% warf	0.8% riva vs 1.2% warf	0.6% apix vs 1.4% warf	0.9% edox 60mg vs 1.9% warf
		X	X	<b>√</b>	X
Major Cl Blood	✓	NNH≈100/2yr	NNH≈100/1.6yr 3.2% riva vs 2.2% warf	no difference vs warfarin	NNH≈166/2.8yr
Major GI Bleed	•	3.1 % dabi 150mg vs 2.1% warf; 2.3% dabi 110mg vs 2.1% warf	3.2% riva vs 2.2% warr	1.2% apix vs 1.3% warf [observational data: apixaban	3.3% edox 60mg vs 2.7% warf; 1.8% edox 30mg vs 2.7% warf
		NS (but less benefit)		↓ GI bleed vs other DOACs] <sup>10</sup>	NNT≈111/2.8yr (but less benefit)
		(	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b> √	11
		no difference vs warfarin	no difference vs warfarin	↓ bleeds vs warfarin	↓ bleeds vs warfarin
Major Bleed	✓	6.6 % dabi 150mg vs 7% warf	5.6% riva vs 5.4% warf	NNT≈67/1.8yr	NNT≈67/2.8yr
		_		3.6% apix vs 5.1% warf	6% edox 60mg vs 7.5% warf
Bleed	✓	✓	×	×	×
Management	Vitamin K, 4F-PCC	idarucizumab PRAXBIND	4F-PCCOCTAPLEX/BERIPLEXX	<sup>®</sup> , Antidote: andexanet alfa <sup>o</sup>	NDEXXYA X ⊗; ANDEXXA FDA'18
Discontinuation		×	_	✓	_
Rates	-	NNH≈25 <mark>/</mark> 2yr	no difference vs warfarin	NNT≈45/1.8yr	no difference vs warfarin
nates		21% dabi vs 17% warf	no difference vs warrarin	25% apix vs 28% warf	no difference vs warrarin
	RCTs ongoing.				
Daniel Function	Observational	×	B 10 1 1 1	very limited data	very limited data
Renal Function 7	data conflicting,	Contraindicated	very limited data	?caution > <15mL/min	avoid $\nearrow$ <15mL/min
(CrCl <30mL/min)	benefit may not	3 <30mL/min	avoid 👌 <15mL/min	?off-label use in HD	(see side panel for >95mL/min)
	outweigh harm, esp. in ESRD				
		shorter than warf. Con: nonac	hherence (missed doses) will re	sult in earlier loss of anticoa	gulation status vs warfarin.
Half-life Pros/Cons	Half-life of DOAC is shorter than warf. Con: nonadherence (missed doses) will result in earlier loss of anticoagulation status Pro: anticoagulation is achieved <u>faster</u> after starting, & when managing bleeds, coagulation status returns to normal <u>faster</u>				
	INR monitoring	X? ↑ MI see RxFiles Q&A	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>↓</b> All-Cause Mortality	
Other	✓ tailor dose re:		_	NNT≈132/1.8yr	_
23.101	stroke vs bleed risk  inconvenient	X ↑ Dyspepsia     NNH≈18/2yr vs warf		6.6% apix vs 7.4% warf	
			I ry few interactions with warfari	•	ated - warfarin dose can be
Drug Interactions			P-gp inducer/inhibitor with DO		
•	✓ \$15	× (\$98 g* ▼) - \$120	✓ \$35 g (brand=\$105)	✓ \$37 g (brand=\$118)	<b>×</b> \$107 (\$34 g⊗)
	* * \$15				
\$Cost per 30 days		mairect costs for the	patient with warfarin include II	vicinitoring and time/trav	rei.



## Comments

- There is a positive correlation between warfarin's efficacy / safety and its Time in Therapeutic Range (TTR). Consider local context.
- Renal function (also see row in table): All OAC have limited RCT data with ↓renal function (CrCl <30mL/min).
  </p> Warf: observational data for safety & efficacy is conflicting. Dabi is contraindicated (CI) if CrCl <30mL/min; 80-85% renally cleared. Apix & riva have limited RCT data down to CrCl 15mL/min. RENAL-AF, AXADIA-AFNET 8: apix vs VKA in hemodialysis patients, both underpowered; risk of bleeding & benefit similar. OAC not routinely recommended in Stage 5 CKD (eGFR <15mL/min). CCS AF WR, LQ Edox & CrCl >95mL/min: risk of ischemic stroke; FDA recommends to avoid, but Health Canada does not.
- Atrial fibrillation with valvular disease: in presence of mechanical heart valve, or of moderate-severe mitral stenosis (rheumatic or nonrheumatic): warfarin is the preferred agent<sup>CCS'20,ACC'23</sup>; dabigatran contraindicated (↑ rates of bleeding & thrombotic events in RE-ALIGN trial); avoid other DOACs.
- Canadian differences: international trials with few Canadian patients; in general, most Canadian sites would be expected to have better TTR with warfarin than average,8 & less absolute risk of intracranial hemorrhage.7 These factors potentially limit DOAC advantages.
- Importance of dose: efficacy & bleed risk are both dependent on dose; e.g. dabigatran 110mg BID & edoxaban 30mg daily had ↓ bleeding, but also ↓ efficacy, vs dabigatran 150mg BID¹ & edoxaban 60mg daily, 4 respectively.
- Life-threatening/ fatal bleed was ↓ in dabi / riva / edox trials vs warfarin.

## **Anticoagulation Colour Comparison Chart**

This editorial synthesis was based on interpretation of data from RCTs (RELY, ROCKET-AF, ARISTOTLE, ENGAGE-AF), CADTH reports, product monographs & clinical consultation.

Acknowledgements: Written by Loren Regier and Zack Dumont; reformatted in 2021 by Alex Crawley. Ongoing edits provided by Lynette Kosar (2018-2022), Eric Landry (2023), and Wallace Rourke (2025).

Thanks to our reviewer of the 15th Edition (2025): Darcy Lamb, Amanda Gin

Thanks to our other previous reviewers: Kelly Buxton, Alex Crawley, Loren Regier, Brent Jensen.

**Disclosures**: No conflicts of interest are reported by the authors.

Disclaimer: RxFiles Academic Detailing is part of the College of Pharmacy and Nutrition at the University of Saskatchewan. The content of this work represents the research, experience, and opinions of the authors and not those of the University of Saskatchewan. Neither the authors nor the University of Saskatchewan nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the materials will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of the University of Saskatchewan, its employees, servants, or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources.

## **Search Terms**

caren reinis				
Anticoagulant	22			
Apixaban	22			
Atrial Fibrillation	22			
COUMADIN	22			
Dabigratran	22			
DOACs	22			
Edoxaban	22			
ELIQUIS	22			
LIXIANA	22			
PRADAXA	22			
Rivaroxaban	22			
Warfarin	22			
XARELTO	22			
Andexanet alfa	22			
ONDEXXYA	22			

## References:

- 1. RE-LY. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Parekh A, Pogue J, Reilly PA, Themeles E, Varrone J, Wang S. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2009 Sep 17;361(12):1139-51.
- 2. ROCKET-AF. Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, Pan G, Singer DE, Hacke W, Breithardt G, Halperin JL, Hankey GJ, Piccini JP, Becker RC. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011 Sep 8;365(10):883-91.
- 3. ARISTOTLE. Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, Lopes RD, Hylek EM, Hanna M, Al-Khalidi HR, Ansell J, Atar D, Avezum A, Bahit MC. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011 Sep 15;365(11):981-92.
- 4. ENGAGE-AF. Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Halperin JL, Waldo AL, Ezekowitz MD, Weitz JI, Špinar J, Ruzyllo W. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013 Nov 28;369(22):2093-104.
- 5. Jones M, McEwan P, Morgan CL, Peters JR, Goodfellow J, Currie CJ. Evaluation of the pattern of treatment, level of anticoagulation control, and outcome of treatment with warfarin in patients with non-valvar atrial fibrillation: a record linkage study in a large British population. *Heart.* 2005;91(4):472-477. doi:10.1136/hrt.2004.042465
- 6. Wells G, Coyle D, Cameron C, Steiner S, Coyle K, Kelly S, Tang A, Healey J, Hsieh SC, van Berkom J. Safety, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness of new oral anticoagulants compared with warfarin in preventing stroke and other cardiovascular events in patients with atrial fibrillation.
- 7. Gomes T, Mamdani MM, Holbrook AM, Paterson JM, Hellings C, Juurlink DN. Rates of hemorrhage during warfarin therapy for atrial fibrillation. Cmaj. 2013 Feb 5;185(2):E121-7.
- 8. Gómez-Outes A, Terleira-Fernández AI, Calvo-Rojas G, Suárez-Gea ML, Vargas-Castrillón E. Direct oral anticoagulants for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation: meta-analysis by geographic region with a focus on European patients. British journal of clinical pharmacology. 2016 Sep;82(3):633-44. See Appendix S4: North America had among the highest rates of TTR among regions.
- 9. Trusler M. Well-managed warfarin is superior to NOACs. Canadian Family Physician. 2015 Jan 1;61(1):23-4.
- 10. Lau WC, Torre CO, Man KK, Stewart HM, Seager S, Van Zandt M, Reich C, Li J, Brewster J, Lip GY, Hingorani AD. Comparative Effectiveness and Safety Between Apixaban, Dabigatran, Edoxaban, and Rivaroxaban Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Multinational Population-Based Cohort Study. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2022 Nov;175(11):1515-24.
- 11. Andrade JG, Aguilar M, Atzema C, et al. The **2020 Canadian** Cardiovascular Society (**CCS**) / Canadian Heart Rhythm Society (CHRS) Comprehensive Guidelines for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation. Can J Cardiol. 2020 Oct 22:S0828-282X(20)30991-0
- 12. Joglar, J. A., Chung, M. K., et al. 2023 ACC/AHA/ACCP/HRS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines (ACC'23). Circulation, 149(1), e1–e156.
- 13. Reinecke, H., Engelbertz, C., et al. (2023). A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Apixaban With the Vitamin K Antagonist Phenprocoumon in Patients on Chronic Hemodialysis: The AXADIA-AFNET 8 Study. Circulation, 147(4), 296–309.