

Bottom line: These anti-amyloid medications generally achieved statistical significance based on their primary outcomes, however, they did not appear to reach a proposed clinically meaningful benefit. The harms (including ARIA-H/E) and the need for regular MRI monitoring limit practical application and add to overall cost of treatment. These novel drugs pose a theoretical disease-progression-altering capacity based on amyloid plaque reduction; however, their clinical value and long-term outcomes remain unclear.

76-week tx duration for each	TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2 Donanemab KISUNLA (2023)	CLARITY AD Lecanemab LEQEMBI (2023)	EMERGE and ENGAGE <i>Identically Designed RCTs</i> Aducanumab ADUHELM (2022)
Population Highlights	- Included patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD), with mild cognitive impairment or with mild dementia - Mean age across RCTs: ~70-73yr - MMSE across RCTs needed to be in the upper range: ~20-30 (higher score means less impairment; max score on scale = 30) Population was selective: ~60-75% screened out due to inclusion/exclusion criteria		
Intervention / Comparator	Donanemab 1400mg (with 700mg dose titration x first 3 doses) vs placebo IV q4 weeks for 76 weeks	Lecanemab 10mg/kg vs placebo IV q2 weeks for 76 weeks	Aducanumab low dose (3 or 6mg/kg target dose) vs aducanumab high dose (10mg/kg target dose) vs placebo IV q4 weeks for 76 weeks
Outcomes	<i>See individual RxFiles Trial Summaries for more details: TRAILBLAZER ALZ 2, CLARITY, EMERGE and ENGAGE</i> - RCTs achieved statistically significant results in 1° outcome however, differences seen did not meet what would usually be considered the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) 1 RCT (ENGAGE) had non-significant results 1 RCT (EMERGE) originally appeared to have no benefit, but then re-analysis of the full dataset suggested statistically significant results (in only the high dose arm - unclear why difference between the two trials, ?note difference in placebo arms⁵) - Neither RCT achieved a clinically significant change in 1° outcome		
CDR-SB (An 18-point scale to assess cognition & function; lower score = ↓ impairment)	CDR-SB (2° outcome): - 0.68 point difference vs placebo	CDR-SB (1° outcome) - 0.45 point difference vs placebo	CDR-SB (1° outcome) – high dose EMERGE: - 0.39 point difference vs placebo ENGAGE: + 0.03 point difference vs placebo
The commonly suggested minimally important difference (MCID) on the CDR-SB scale is 1 point. None of the RCTs achieved this.			
iADRS (A 144-point scale to assess cognition & function; higher score = ↓ impairment)	iADRS (1° outcome): + 2.92 point difference vs placebo - to assess cognition & function (calculated as composite of ADCS-iADL + ADAS-Cog 13 scores). - did not achieve pre-determined minimum important difference (MCID in AD with mild cognitive impairment=5; MCID in AD with mild dementia=9)	Not applicable – not reported as a composite.	
Other	Other cognitive assessments conducted showed similarly small differences in score.	Similar relative improvements seen in other measures of cognition and functioning.	Conflicting results between 2 RCTs in measures of cognitive and functional improvement; small, statistically significant improvement (not above the threshold for clinically significant benefit) for high dose in EMERGE .
Amyloid Plaque Reduction	All RCTs reported a reduction in amyloid plaques, which is of interest given the proposed pathophysiology of Alzheimer’s disease. However, this is a surrogate endpoint of interest compared to the clinical endpoints above of relatively more importance.		
Notable Harms	All RCTs had adverse events (AE) of concern. ARIA-H and ARIA-E (ARIA=amyloid related imaging abnormalities, ARIA-H=ARIA with microhemorrhages, ARIA-E=ARIA with edema): NNH=3 to 12/76wk. Other AE of interest included infusion reactions, falls, & reduction in brain volume. Serious Adverse Events (SAE) were more common in treated group than placebo (NNH=37 to 62/76wk), as were discontinuation rates. <i>See individual RxFiles Trial Summaries for additional details: TRAILBLAZER ALZ 2, CLARITY, EMERGE and ENGAGE</i>		
Additional Considerations	Drug Cost: \$32,000 USD/year - FDA approved 2024 (previously denied accelerated approval due to lack of data beyond 12 months of therapy) - Submitted to HC in 2024 (under review as of Nov 2025)	Drug Cost: \$26,500 USD/year - FDA approved 2023 - HC approved (with conditions) Oct 2025, not yet marketed as of Nov 2025 - Requires imaging which adds to the burden & costs imposed on the health care system	- FDA approved 2021; however, removed from the US market in Feb 2024 (manufacturer reportedly focusing on marketing LEQEMBI) - Withdrew application from HC due to conflicting trial data & likelihood of not receiving approval ⁴
	Cost of therapy is high, especially relative to the apparent lack of clinically important benefit alongside concerns about harms. Diagnostic supports (PET Scans and MRI) were part of the protocols and required for therapy as part of diagnostic criteria for trial enrollment as well as monitoring for harm throughout treatment, adding to the burden on financial and human resources. When analyzing the Kaplan Meier Curves for the RCTs, one way to look at the potential benefit is that the decline in scores for the treated group lags the placebo group by about 2 months. This, with the caveat that the difference gained is still less than the MCID. In other words, one may gain about 2 months of slowed decline that is so minimal it is not likely to be noticeable. Yet, there may be potential for some patients to benefit more than the group mean. Analysis of other agents in trials (e.g. bapineuzumab, gantenerumab, solanezumab) have not yielded meaningful improvement.⁶		

Abbreviations: AD=Alzheimer’s disease ADAS-Cog=Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive Subscale ADCS-iADL=Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study-Instrumental Activities of Daily Living
AE=adverse events ARIA=amyloid related imaging abnormalities ARIA-H=ARIA with microhemorrhages ARIA-E=ARIA with edema CDR-SB=Clinical Dementia Rating-Sum of Boxes
FDA=Food and Drug Administration HC=Health Canada iADRS=Integrated Alzheimer’s Disease Rating Scale IV=Intravenous kg=kilogram MCI=Mild Cognitive Impairment mg=milligram
MCID=minimal clinically important difference MMSE=Mini-Mental State Examination MOA=mechanism of action MRI=Magnetic Resonance Imaging NNH=number needed to harm
PET=Positron Emission Tomography RCT=randomized controlled trial rxn=reaction SAE=serious adverse event(s) tx=treatment USD=United States Dollars wk=week(s) yr=years old

Acknowledgements: Written by Loren Regier, as a synopsis of the overview and trial summaries created by M Kurz, E Holmlund, and N Helson who were all PharmD Candidates when they contributed this activity in 2023. Finalized by Amy Wiebe in 2025.

Other Reviewers: Erin Yakiwchuk, Tina Korownyk, Mike Kolber.

Disclosures: No conflicts of interest are reported by the authors.

Disclaimer: RxFiles Academic Detailing is part of the College of Pharmacy and Nutrition at the University of Saskatchewan. The content of this work represents the research, experience and opinions of the authors and not those of the University of Saskatchewan. Neither the authors nor the University of Saskatchewan nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the materials will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of the University of Saskatchewan, its employees, servants or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources.

References for ANTI-AMYLOID MEDICATION FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE: Overview of Landmark Trials

1. Haeberlein BS, Aisen PS, Barkhof F, et al. Two Randomized Phase 3 Studies of Aducanumab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2022;9(2):197–210. <https://doi.org/10.14283/jpad.2022.30>.
2. Sims JR, Zimmer JA, Evans CD, et al. Donanemab in Early Symptomatic Alzheimer Disease: The TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2023;330(6):512–527. doi:10.1001/jama.2023.13239.
3. Van Dyck CH, Swanson CJ, Aisen P, et al. Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. *N. England J.M.* 2023; 388(1): 9-21. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2212948.
4. Biogen Canada. Biogen Canada announces intention to transition Aduhelm access program in Canada [Press release]. (2022, June 9). Available from: <https://www.biogen.ca/en-ca/news/2022-06-09-news.html>.
5. Knopman DS, Jones DT, & Greicius MD. Failure to demonstrate efficacy of aducanumab: An analysis of the EMERGE and ENGAGE trials as reported by Biogen, December 2019. *Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association*, 2021;17(4):696–701. <https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12213>.
6. Ackley SF, Zimmerman SC, Brenowitz WD, et al. Effect of reductions in amyloid levels on cognitive change in randomized trials: instrumental variable meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2021; 372:n156. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n156>.