The Effects of Ramipril on Cardiovascular and Microvascular Outcomes in those with T2DM¹

MICRO-HOPE Substudy - with an Additional Focus on Renal/Microvascular Outcomes - Trial Summary

SUMMARY

- In older, high-CV risk patients with diabetes, the ACEI ramipril reduced both major CV events and microvascular events. The impact on CV events was relatively more than that on microvascular events over 4.5yrs (RR \downarrow 25%, NNT \approx 23 vs RR \downarrow 16%, NNT \approx 40, respectively).
- Risk of development of overt nephropathy was specifically reduced by 24% (NNT≈53).
- All benefits were more than would be expected from BP reduction alone. Harms, other than cough (↑5%), were uncommon.

BACKGROUND

The HOPE/MICRO-HOPE Sub-study evaluated the role of ACE inhibitors (ACEI) in a broad group of patients with diabetes, specifically looking at the delay/prevention of microvascular/renal outcomes (e.g. overt nephropathy) and key overall CV outcomes.

HOPE & MICROHOPE PRESPECIFIED SUBSTUDY - TRIAL DESIGN AND POPULATION (SEE ORIGINAL ARTICLE FOR FULL CRITERIA)

- Randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial; uncertain if allocation concealed; ITT; stopped 6 months early after median of 4.5 years.
- Run-in period: 2.5mg ramipril daily x 7-10 days, then matching placebo x10-14 days; 80% compliant, tolerated (no side effects), maintaining </=SCr 200umol/L and K+ </= 5.5mmol/L.
- 2x2 factorial design (ramipril 10mg/day vs placebo, and vitamin D 400IU/day vs placebo); Cox's regression models used in statistical analysis.

POPULATION: (Inclusion and Exclusion):

- INCLUSION: patients, age 55+, with a history of diabetes and CV disease or at lease 1 other CV risk factor (total cholesterol >5.2, HDL <0.9, hypertension (taking antihypertensives or BP >160/90mmHg), known microalbuminuria, current smoker}.
- EXCLUSIONS included: dipstick positive proteinuria or established diabetic nephropathy, other severe renal disease, hyperkalemia, CHF, ejection fraction <0.4, uncontrolled hypertension, recent MI or stroke (<4 weeks); use of, or hypersensitivity to vitamin E or ACEI.
- POPULATION at baseline:
 - n=3577 enrolled (a diabetes subset of the 9541 participants in the HOPE study), age ~66, 63% male, current smoker ~15%
 - 70% CVD (mostly secondary prevention ~60% CAD)), ~56%% on hypertensive meds
 - Mean SCr=94 umol/L, mean BP=142/80 mmHg
 - Population base in North and South America and Europe

INTERVENTION/COMPARISON:

Ramipril 10mg day, vs placebo; (background of conventional antihypertensive therapy)

Still on assigned medication, ramipril or placebo: a) at 1 yr: 84% & 88%; b) at end of study: 65% & 66%; at 4yrs ~12-15% on open-label ACEI

OUTCOMES – evaluated over median follow-up of 4.5 years:

- Primary: composite of doubling of SCr, ESRD, or death
- Secondary, select: composite of morbidity and mortality from CV cause, proteinuria, rate of progression of renal disease

RESULTS – over median 4.5 years – ITT analysis

	Ramipril 10mg (No/100patient-yr) n=1808	Placebo (No/100patient-yr) n=1769	Relative Risk Reduction (95% CI)	Absolute Risk NNT / 4.5yrs	Comments
1° Endpoint (MI, stroke, CV death)	15.3%	19.8%	25% (12-36)	4.5%, NNT≈23	Authors calculation, CV & microvascular endpo Subgroups: ramipril benefit appears consisten Microvascular endpoints: most effect on over 8.4% p=0.027; small uncertain effect on laser 10.5% p=0.24; trivial or no effect on dialysis! Risk of nephropathy: ramipril lowered risk in who did not have microalbuminuria; ramipril ratio than placebo at 1yr and at end of study microalbuminuria at baseline, risk of new mi not significantly reduced. Ramipril led to low proteinuria (7 vs 8%). AE/Reasons for DC (discontinuing drug vs place hypotension/dizziness 2 vs 1%, angioedema hypertension 3 vs 5%, clinical event 8% vs 10 unfortunately SAE not reported.
MI	10.2%	12.9%	22% (6-36)	2.7%, NNT≈37	
Stroke	4.2%	6.1%	33% (10-50)	1.9%; NNT≈53	
CV death	6.2%	9.7%	37% (21-51)	3.5%, NNT≈29	
Total Mortality	10.8%	14.0%	24% (8-37)	3.2%, NNT≈32	
Hospitalization: for HF;	4.5%	4.5%	-	-	
for unstable angina	11.8%	11.7%	-	-	
Revascularization	14%	16.4%	17% (2-30%)	2.4%, NNT≈42	
Overt nephropathy	6.5%	8.4%	24% (3-40)	1.9%, NNT≈53	
Microvascular: overt	15.1%%	17.6%%	16% (1-29)	2.5%, NNT≈40	
nephropathy, laser tx fo					
retinopathy, or dialysis.				BP change at end of study (in mmHg): (cuff B	
DC due to <mark>Cough</mark>	7%	2%	-	-	- Systolic: -1.9 vs +0.55 - Diastolic: -3.3 vs -2.3 - (both statistically significant altho Outcome benefits for ramipril appear to be g expected from ↓ in BP alone (consistent wi
DC due to Angioedema	0.3%	0.1%	-	-	
DC anytime	37%	37%	-	-	
Serious AE (SAE)	?	?	-	-	

points: NNT≈15/4.5yrs ent across subgroups ert nephropathy 6.5 vs ser tx (retinopathy) 9.4 vs s 5 vs 5%

n those who did. & those ril led to a lower ACr dy; in those without microalbuminuria was wer rate of overt

lacebo): cough 7 vs 2%, a 0.3 vs 0.1%, 10%, other ~28%;

BP; ? in evening)

hough small difference) greater than would be with other ACEI RCTs.)

Microalbuminuria = a ratio of ≥2mg/mmol; Overt Nephropathy = as 24h urine albumin ≥ 300mg, or total urine protein excretion ≥ 50mg/day, or A/C ratio ≥36mg/mmol (without other 24hr urine result) ACEI-angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor ACR-albumin/creatinine ratio AE-adverse event BP-blood pressure CHF-congestive heart failure CI=95% confidence interval CV=cardiovascular CVD=CV disease DC=discontinued DM=diabetes mellitus ESRD=end-stage-renal-disease HF=heart failure ITT-intention-to-treat K+=potassium NNT=number needed to treat SCr=serum creatinine tx=treatment

DISCLAIMER: The content of this newsletter represents the research, experience and opinions of the authors and not those of the University of Saskatchewan (U of S). Neither the authors nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the newsletter will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of the U of S, its employees, servants or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. Additional information and references online at www.RxFiles.ca Copyright Sept 2025 – RxFiles, University of Saskatchewan (U of S)

¹ Effects of ramipril on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in people with diabetes mellitus: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy. Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Lancet. 2000 Jan 22;355(9200):253-9. Erratum in: Lancet 2000 Sep 2;356(9232):860