SPS3: Lower systolic blood pressure <130mmHg vs Higher systolic blood pressure 130-149mmHg target in patients with recent symptomatic, MRI-identified lacunar stroke¹

Secondary Prevention of Small Subcortical Strokes

BOTTOM LINE

In SPS3 (systolic blood pressure intervention), for patients with recent (median 62 days) symptomatic, MRI-identified lacunar stroke:

- Lowering systolic blood pressure (SBP) to <130 mmHg, compared to 130-149 mmHg, over 3.7 years, did <u>NOT</u> reduce recurrent stroke.
- At this time, the Canadian Stroke Best Practices Recommendations 2014 do <u>not</u> recommend BP <130 mmHg.
 They recommend a target of <140/90 mmHg for patients who have had a stroke or TIA (Evidence Level B).²

BACKGROUND

- Hypertension is an important risk factor for stroke, especially small vessel disease (SVD). Treatment with antihypertensive medications can prevent stroke and its recurrence.³ However, lowering SBP too much may compromise autoregulation and the ability to maintain cerebral perfusion. Thus the optimal blood pressure target is unknown.
- Lacunar strokes (small subcortical strokes) account for approximately 25% of ischemic strokes, and usually result from small penetrating artery disease.
- Since an RCT for secondary prevention after MRI-identified lacunar stroke has not been conducted, SPS3 was designed to
 determine if SBP <130 mmHg was more effective & safer than SBP 130-149 mmHg as secondary prevention in reducing the
 incidence of recurrent stroke.

TRIAL BACKGROUND

DESIGN: randomized stratified by centre and baseline hypertensive status, open label (SBP intervention), multicenter n=81, intention-to-treat, 2x2 factorial (antiplatelet intervention and targeted systolic blood pressure intervention). Funding: National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS).

INTERVENTION: "lower" SBP <130 mmHg versus "higher" SPB 130-149 mmHg

- BP assessed at least monthly, until in target range for 2 consecutive visits then BP assessed q3months. If at any time SBP was outside target, patients were to return for assessment within 1 month.
- Antihypertensive medications were prescribed by the local study physician and supplied via study formularies

INCLUSION: ≥30 years old, normotensive or hypertensive, symptomatic lacunar stroke confirmed by MRI pre-specified criteria ≥2 weeks to ≤180 days

EXCLUSION: surgically amenable ipsilateral carotid artery disease, major risk factors for cardioembolic sources of stroke, modified Rankin score ≥4 (disabling stroke), previous intracranial hemorrhage from non-traumatic causes, cortical ischemic stroke

POPULATION at baseline: n=3020, median time to randomization 62 days, qualifying event: TIA 1%, ischemic stroke 99%;

North America _{65%, n=1960}, Latin America _{23%, n=694}, Spain _{12%, n=366}

- Non-modifiable risk factors for stroke or TIA: 63% 3, mean age ~63 years old, previous stroke or TIA 15%, black ethnicity 16%
- Modifiable risk factors for stroke or TIA: hypertension 75%, mean SBP ~143 mmHg, hyperlipidemia 49%,⁴ diabetes 37%, current smoker 20%
- There were no substantial differences between the two treatment groups at baseline
- Use of statin during follow-up ~83%
- Permanent discontinuation of blood pressure therapy (high SBP target 17%, low SBP target 16%, NS)

RESULTS						follow-up: mean 3.7 years	
TABLE 1: EFFICACY (efficacy outcomes confirmed by central adjudication committee unaware of treatment assignments)							
CLINICAL ENDPOINTS	130-149 MMHG n=1519	<130 MMHG n=1501	HR 95% CI	ARR/ARI	NNT/ <mark>NNH</mark> /x3.7 yrs	COMMENTS	
PRIMARY ENDPOINT							
Stroke recurrence (ischemic, intracranial hemorrhage)*	10% {n=152} (2.77%/pt-year)	8.3% {n=125} (2.25%/pt-year)	0.81 (0.64-1.03)	1.7%	NS	Kaplan-Meier for primary endpoint. This NS result is consistent across various subgroups (age, gender, diabetes, race, baseline SBP)	
SECONARY ENDPOINTS						10 — Higher target group — Lower target group	
Ischemic stroke or unknown	8.6% {n=131} (2.4%/pt-year)	7.5% {n=112} (2%/pt-year)	0.84 (0.66-1.09)	1.1%	NS	08- 07- 8- 6-64-	
Intracranial hemorrhage	1.4% {n=21} (0.38%/pt-year)	0.9% {n=13} (0.23%/pt-year)	0.61 (0.31-1.22)	0.5%	NS	2 05- 2 04- 2 03- Mileda (55x 0 0 64 + 10)	
Intracerebral hemorrhage	1.1% {n=16} (0.29%/pt-year)	0.4% {n=6} (0.11%/pt-year)	0.37 (0.15-0.95)	0.7%	154	02- 01- 01- 01- 01- 01- 01- 01- 01- 01- 01	
Major vascular event	12.4% {n=188} (3.46%/pt-year)	10.7% {n=160} (2.91%/pt-year)	0.84 (0.68-1.04)	1.7%	NS	Number at mile Number at mile	
Death (vascular, nonvascular, unknown)	6.6% {n=101} (1.74%/pt-year)	7.1% {n=106} (1.80%/pt-year)	1.03 (0.79-1.35)	0.4%	NS	Causes of death NS between groups.	

^{*}ischemic stroke = focal neurological deficit of sudden onset >24hr and no hemorrhage on neuroimaging, intracranial hemorrhage = intracerebral, subdural, subarachnoid on neuroimaging

TABLE 2: SAFETY & Nonclinical endpoints								
CLINICAL ENDPOINTS	130-149 MMHG n=1519	<130 MMHG n=1501	COMMENTS					
SAFETY								
Serious adverse events related to hypotension ~1%, NS between groups.								
Side-effects potentially related to BP management Unsteadiness ~25%, dizziness ~22%, others, were NS between groups.								
NONCLINICAL ENDPOINTS								
SBP (mean) @ 1 year	138 mmHg (CI 137-139)	127mmHg (CI 126-128)	11 mmHg difference between treatment arms					
BP within assigned range @ 1year	75% {n=1139}	65% {n=976}						
Did not achieve BP targets within range at any time	4.6% {n=70}	4.9% {n=74}						
# antihypertensive meds at study entry (mean)	1.7 (SD 1.2)	1.7 (SD 1.2)						
# antihypertensive meds at 1 year (mean)	1.8 (SD 1.4)	2.4 (SD 1.3)	Differences were significant					
Antihypertensive meds at last visit								
Thiazides	38% {n=569}	54% {n=804}						
ACEI/ARB	60% {n=894}	78% {n=1156}	Differences were significant					
Calcium-channel blocker	39% {n=438}	43% {n=637}						
BB	28% {n=424}	35% {n=521}						

STRENGTHS, LIMITATIONS, & UNCERTAINTIES

STRENGTHS:

- This was a well-defined, homogeneous group of patients (lacunar stroke)
- Sample size of 3000 was achieved
- Even though there was open label BP management, there was an event adjudication committee, blinded to treatment
 allocation, to confirm efficacy outcomes (the publication did not mention whether safety endpoints were adjudicate through this
 committee)
- This study mimicked real world practice, and allowed clinicians to use any antihypertensive(s) to treat to SBP target
- Although 4.8% did not achieve SBP target, this is similar to other studies

LIMITATIONS:

- The period of time after a stroke is important, as the estimated risk of recurrent stroke is 11.5% at 7 days, 15% at one month, and 18.5% at 3 months after a minor stroke. In SPS3, the earliest time antihypertensive therapy was initiated was 2 weeks, with a median time to randomization of 62 days. This delay resulted in the enrollment of patients at lower risk of recurrent stroke, who are less likely to benefit.
- There was a high rate of discontinuation of antihypertensives (high SBP 17%, low SBP 16%). It is unknown whether this was due to efficacy, safety or other reasons.
- Lost to follow up, ended follow up early (withdrew consent, centre closed, MD request, other) 18%
- Although at 1 year, mean SBP were within target (higher target group 138 mmHg (Cl 137-139), lower target group 127 mmHg Cl 126-128)), 75% in the higher target group versus 65% in the lower target group had BP within the assigned target ranges.
- Patients in the lower target group used more medications at 1 year and at last visit (1.8 for higher target group, 2.4 for lower target group).

UNCERTAINITIES:

- The rate of recurrent stroke in the comparator arm, higher SBP 130-149 mmHg, was low (2.77%/pt-year) thereby minimizing the difference between the two arms. The authors and others suggest whether this was due to good secondary prevention: usage of statins (~85%), adherence to antiplatelet therapy (94%)⁶, and good BP control (<5% did not achieve BP within target at any point) contributed to this.⁷
- The types of antihypertensive used were different between groups and may confound the results. Some may increase variability in SBP (beta-blocker) while others less variability in SBP (calcium-channel blockers and diuretics). Additionally, thiazides may be beneficial in stroke prevention.
- It's unknown whether the association of SBP and stroke risk is weaker with SBP <130 mmHg than with higher SBP.
- The subgroup analysis did not include all risk factors for stroke (missing previous stroke or TIA, hypertension, smoking, hyperlipidemia), so it is unknown what the hazard ratio for recurrent stroke is in these subgroups.
- This was stated in the SPS3 antiplatelet intervention, but not the blood pressure intervention publication: For stroke recurrence, no significant interaction between the antiplatelet and blood pressure lowering intervention was found.
- There were minor discrepancies between the patient baseline characteristic numbers & the two SPS3 publications
 (i.e. antiplatelet and SBP results) (e.g. ischemic stroke as qualifying event 97% vs 99%).
 With a 2x2 factorial design, it is uncertain why there were differences, but the small differences likely did not impact results.

RXFILES RELATED LINKS

- RxFiles DAPT & Triple Therapy newsletter & chart: http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/DAPT%20and%20Triple%20Therapy%20Newsletter%20and%20Chart.pdf
- Canadian Family Physician Journal RxFiles article on DAPT post stroke: http://www.cfp.ca/content/62/8/640.full.pdf+html?sid=aa5c799f-c58e-4ca9-ad79-f96a3abe4367
- SPS3 Antiplatelet Trial Summary: http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/SPS3%20antiplatelet-Trial%20Summary.pdf
- MATCH Trial Summary: http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/MATCH-Trial%20Summary.pdf
- CHANCE Trial Summary: http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/CHANCE-Trial%20Summary.pdf

X =non-formulary in SK ⊗=not covered by NIHB ==Exceptional Drug Status in SK ♂=male BP=blood pressure CI=confidence interval mos=months SAE=serious adverse events SBP=systolic blood pressure pt-years=patient years

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Contributors & Reviews: Lynette Kosar, Brent Jensen, Loren Regier Prepared By: Anne Nguyen PharmD

DISCLAIMER: The content of this newsletter represents the research, experience and opinions of the authors and not those of the Board or Administration of Saskatoon Health Region (SHR). Neither the authors nor Saskatoon Health Region nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the newsletter will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of SHR, its employees, servants or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. Additional information and references online at www.fixfiles.ca Copyright 2017 – Rxfiles, Saskatoon Health Region (SHR)

References

- 1. Benavente OR, Coffey CS, Conwit R, et al. Blood-pressure targets in patients with recent lacunar stroke: the SPS3 randomised trial. *Lancet*. Aug 10 2013;382(9891):507-515.
- 2. Coutts SB, Wein TH, Lindsay MP, et al. Canadian Stroke Best Practice Recommendations: secondary prevention of stroke guidelines, update 2014. *Int J Stroke*. Apr 2015;10(3):282-291.
- **3.** Rashid P, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath P. Blood pressure reduction and secondary prevention of stroke and other vascular events: a systematic review. *Stroke*. Nov 2003;34(11):2741-2748.
- **4.** White CL, Szychowski JM, Roldan A, et al. Clinical features and racial/ethnic differences among the 3020 participants in the Secondary Prevention of Small Subcortical Strokes (SPS3) trial. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* Aug 2013;22(6):764-774.
- **5.** Coull AJ, Lovett JK, Rothwell PM. Population based study of early risk of stroke after transient ischaemic attack or minor stroke: implications for public education and organisation of services. *BMJ*. Feb 07 2004;328(7435):326.
- **6.** Benavente OR, Hart RG, McClure LA, Szychowski JM, Coffey CS, Pearce LA. Effects of clopidogrel added to aspirin in patients with recent lacunar stroke. *N Engl J Med.* Aug 30 2012;367(9):817-825.
- 7. Hankey GJ. An optimum blood pressure target after lacunar stroke? Lancet. Aug 10 2013;382(9891):482-484.
- 8. Turgeon RD. Lessons from the SPS3 trial. Lancet. Feb 08 2014;383(9916):512-513.