

DECLARE-TIMI 58: Dapagliflozin vs Placebo in T2DM + CVD/Major Risk Factors¹

Dapagliflozin Effect on Cardiovascular Events-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 58

RESULTS SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Dapagliflozin 10mg daily vs. placebo as add-on therapy to standard antihyperglycemic care in patients with T2DM and clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk factors^{60%} or ASCVD:^{40%}

- **Benefits:** Dapagliflozin 10mg daily was non-inferior to placebo for the primary safety endpoint of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE= composite endpoint of CV death, non-fatal MI and non-fatal stroke). As for the two primary efficacy endpoints, dapagliflozin was not superior to placebo for the reduction of MACE (HR 0.93; 0.84-1.03; 95% CI; p=0.17), however dapagliflozin reduced the composite endpoint of CV death or hospitalizations for heart failure (HHF) (NNT=112/4.2yrs or NNT=470/1 yr).
 - The secondary composite endpoint of CV death or HHF was found to be driven by a reduction in HHF (NNT=125/4.2 yrs), although this was an underpowered, exploratory outcome only. There was no statistically significant difference in CV death (HR 0.93; 0.82-1.04; 95% CI) between the two groups.
- **Harms:** Increased genital infections (NNH=125/4.2yrs) were more prominent in the dapagliflozin group. Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), although rare, was more common in the dapagliflozin group compared to the placebo group (0.3% vs 0.1%, NNH=500/4.2yrs). There was no evidence for increased rates of fracture, amputation, bladder cancer, or stroke in the dapagliflozin group. Withdrawals due to AEs were 8.1% vs 6.9% (NNH=84/4.2 yrs) for the dapagliflozin and placebo groups respectively.

Bottom Line: Dapagliflozin neither increased nor decreased the risk of MACE, as it remained neutral compared to placebo. Ongoing investigation of dapagliflozin's potential role in HHF reduction is underway in the **DAPA-HF** trial (see "uncertainties" section for more on HHF). Presently within the SGLT-2 inhibitor class, the results of the **EMPA-REG** and **CANVAS** trials seem to be more compelling showing empagliflozin and canagliflozin's CV benefits. The **DAPA-CKD** trial is currently attempting to determine the possibility of dapagliflozin therapy leading to renal benefits in CKD patients.

BACKGROUND

- **Dapagliflozin** **FORXIGA** is a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitor approved in 2014 for the management of T2DM as either monotherapy or in combination with metformin, a sulfonylurea, sitagliptin, or insulin along with diet and exercise.
- Previous SGLT-2 inhibitor trials have demonstrated CV benefits associated with this class of medications.
 - **Empagliflozin** **JARDIANCE** lead to a reduction in MACE (NNT=63/3.1yrs or NNT=195/1yr) and all-cause mortality (NNT= 38/3.1 yrs).
 - **Canagliflozin** **INVOKANA** lead to a reduction in MACE (NNT=220/1yr), with no significant reduction in mortality.^{2,3}
- Diabetes Canada (DC) 2018 guidelines do not consider the results of **DECLARE-TIMI 58** as it was completed post-publication; however, DC recommends that patients with T2DM and clinical CVD in whom A1C targets are not achieved with existing pharmacotherapy, receive an add-on antihyperglycemic agent with demonstrated CV outcome benefits (i.e. empagliflozin or canagliflozin) to reduce CV risk.⁴ The 2019 American Diabetes Association Guidelines have been updated to include the data from this trial, stating that dapagliflozin is associated with reduced HHF and reduced progression of CKD.⁵

TRIAL BACKGROUND

DESIGN: Randomized, double-blind (no reported allocation concealment), multinational (882 sites, 33 countries including Canada), placebo-controlled trial (both intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses used for primary safety and efficacy endpoints); 4-8 week single-blind placebo run-in; non-inferiority design.

INTERVENTION: Dapagliflozin 10mg once daily vs. matching placebo, added to existing antihyperglycemic therapy (except TZDs or previous SGLT-2 inhibitors)

INCLUSION: T2DM, Age ≥40yrs, A1C 6.5-11.9%, CrCl ≥60mL/min, multiple risk factors for ASCVD^{59.4%} (♂ ≥55yrs or ♀ ≥60yrs with ≥1 of the following: hypertension, dyslipidemia, or tobacco use) or established ASCVD^{40.6%} (defined as clinically evident ischemic heart disease, ischemic cerebrovascular disease, or PAD).

EXCLUSION: Acute CV event in prior 8 weeks (ACS, TIA, stroke, decompensated HF, any revascularization, sustained ventricular tachycardia); current/recent treatment with either pioglitazone (within 24 months or use for >2yrs) or rosiglitazone (within 12 months); previous treatment with any SGLT-2 inhibitor; chronic oral steroid (>30 days); BP>180/100mmHg; T1DM or secondary DM; hx of bladder cancer or radiation therapy to lower abdomen; hx of any other malignancy (aside from successfully treated non-melanoma skin cancers); chronic cystitis and/or recurrent UTIs (≥3 in past year); pregnancy or breastfeeding patients; individuals with poor medication adherence during run-in period (<80%); AST or ALT >3x UNL or total bilirubin >2.5x UNL; hematuria.

POPULATION at baseline (mean values unless specified):

- Total; Age:n=17,160; age 64 ± 6.8yrs {≥65yrs (46.1%), >75yrs (6.4%)}
- Median duration of T2DM; A1C:11yrs (IQR 6-16yrs); 8.3 ± 1.2%
- Sex; BMI:.....~63% ♂; 32.1 ± 6
- eGFR; SBP:.....eGFR ~85.2mL/min/1.73m²; 134.9 ± 15.4mmHg
- Race/ethnicity:White ~79.5%; Asian ~13.4%; African-American/Black ~3.5%; Other ~3.5%
- Geographical region:Europe ~44.4%; North America ~31.8%; Asia-Pacific ~12.7%; Latin America ~10.9%;
- Other Antihyperglycemics:Metformin ~82%; Sulfonylurea ~42.7%; Insulin ~40.9%; DPP-4 inhibitor~16.8%; GLP-1 agonist ~4.4%
- CVD; HF:Established ASCVD ~40.7% (hx of CAD ~33%, hx of cerebrovascular disease ~7.6%, hx of PAD ~6%); hx of HF~10%
- Cardiovascular Therapies:ACEI/ARB 81.3%; Statin or ezetimibe 74.9%; Antiplatelet 61.1%; β-blocker 52.6%; Diuretics 40.6%

RESULTS

Follow-up: Median 4.2yrs/Mean 4 yrs

TABLE 1: EFFICACY		NON-INFERIORITY	SUPERIORITY				
CLINICAL ENDPOINTS (over 4.2yrs)	DAPAGLIFLOZIN 10MG n=8582	PLACEBO n=8578	HR (95% CI)	P VALUE	ARR/ARI	NNT/4.2YRS	COMMENTS
CO-PRIMARY EFFICACY ENDPOINTS							
MACE (CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke)*	8.8% (n=756)	9.4% (n=803)	0.93 (0.84-1.03)	0.17	-	-	**Because dapagliflozin showed significance for only one of the primary efficacy outcomes, analyses of secondary outcomes are hypothesis-generating.
Composite of CV death and HHF	4.9% (n=417)	5.8% (n=496)	0.83 (0.73-0.95)	0.005	↓0.9%	112	
CO-SECONDARY ENDPOINTS							
Death from any cause	6.2% (n=529)	6.6% (n=570)	0.93 (0.82-1.04)	-	-	-	Dapagliflozin vs placebo, mean difference:
CV Death†	2.9% (n=245)	2.9% (n=249)	0.98 (0.82-1.17)	-	-	-	
HHF	2.5% (n=212)	3.3% (n=286)	0.73 (0.61-0.88)	-	↓0.8%	125**	
Renal Composite (sustained ↓eGFR ≥40% to <60mL/min/1.73m²; ‡ new ESRD, or death from renal/CV cause)	4.3% (n=370)	5.6% (n=480)	0.76 (0.67-0.87)	-	↓1.3%	77**	
* Dapagliflozin was non-inferior to placebo for the primary safety endpoint of MACE (p<0.001); † No difference for CV death between dapagliflozin and placebo; ‡ Calculated using CKD-EPI							SBP ↓2.7mmHg DBP ↓0.7mmHg Weight ↓1.8Kg A1C ↓0.42%
Other non-significant secondary efficacy outcomes: MI, ischemic stroke, death from non-CV cause, ≥40% ↓eGFR to <60mL/min/1.73m², ESRD or death from renal cause. Results were consistent across all subgroups.							

FIGURE 1: PRIMARY EFFICACY OUTCOMES

TABLE 2: ADVERSE EVENTS (AE)							
CLINICAL ENDPOINTS	DAPAGLIFLOZIN 10MG n=8574	PLACEBO n=8569	HR (95% CI)	P VALUE	ARR/ARI	NNT/NNH /4.2YRS	COMMENTS
DKA	0.3% (n=27)	0.1% (n=12)	2.18 (1.1-4.3)	0.02	↑0.2%	500	Note that >80% of patients with DKA were using insulin at baseline
Major hypoglycemic event	0.7% (n=58)	1% (n=83)	0.68 (0.49-0.95)	0.02	↓0.3%	334	
Genital infection	0.9% (n=76)	0.1% (n=9)	8.36 (4.19-16.68)	<0.001	↑0.8%	125	
Bladder cancer	0.3% (n=26)	0.5% (n=45)	0.57 (0.35-0.93)	0.02	↓0.2%	500	Other non-significant AE: sx of volume depletion, UTI, breast cancer, hypersensitivity reactions, hepatic events, amputation, and fracture.
AKI	1.5% (n=125)	2% (n=175)	0.69 (0.55-0.87)	0.002	↓0.5%	200	
Serious AE	34.1% (n=2925)	36.2% (n=3100)	0.91 (0.87-0.96)	<0.001	↓2.1%	48	
AE leading to D/C of trial	8.1% (n=693)	6.9% (n=592)	1.15 (1.03-1.28)	0.01	↑1.2%	84	
D/C rates	21.1% (n=1811)	25.1% (n=2151)	-	-	-	-	

STRENGTHS, LIMITATIONS, & UNCERTAINTIES

STRENGTHS:

- Designed to assess whether potential benefits with dapagliflozin are comparable to empagliflozin and canagliflozin (all trials were active SGLT-2 inhibitor vs placebo).
- Trial included largest percentage (~60%) of primary prevention patients (i.e. no established cardiovascular disease). This is unique compared to other SGLT-2 inhibitor studies (i.e. EMPA-REG OUTCOME: 100% ASCVD, CANVAS: 66% ASCVD).
- Large, well-designed trial.
- Low loss-to-follow up (n=30, <0.1%/yr).
- Both ITT and PP analyses were performed, which is often preferred in non-inferiority trials in order to decrease bias.⁶

LIMITATIONS:

- Investigators and participants were informed about the positive CV results from the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, upon which two additional primary efficacy outcomes were added to the trial protocol, rather than having one primary safety endpoint as originally planned. This could increase the chance of surveillance/detection bias.
- The addition of the new primary outcomes could have led to the trial being underpowered, as the sample size was not increased.
- Findings may only be relevant in patients with longstanding T2DM (mean duration ~11yrs).
- Use of open-label SGLT-2 inhibitors (3.4% in dapagliflozin group, 6.1% in placebo group).

UNCERTAINTIES:

- There are no head-to-head trials comparing dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, and empagliflozin. If they were compared, would dapagliflozin have inferior CV outcomes?
- Does the lack of MACE reduction correlate with the higher CrCl inclusion criteria (≥60mL/min/1.73m²) in DECLARE-TIMI 58 as opposed to the lower eGFR inclusion criteria seen in EMPA-REG OUTCOME (>30mL/min/1.73m²)?² Or is it because the majority (~60%) of participants did not have established ASCVD? Or is it because of dapagliflozin's individual drug characteristics?
- Does dapagliflozin have a role in preventing the progression of CKD? Dapagliflozin significantly decreased AKI (NNT= 200/4.2 yrs). Renal outcome trial currently ongoing: DAPA-CKD – estimated completion 11/2020.⁷ Are the renal benefits signaling a SGLT-2 inhibitor class effect?
- Does the hypothesized reduction in HHF seen with dapagliflozin correlate to EF? Further investigation is required as only ~10% of participants had established HF in this trial. HF outcome trial currently ongoing: DAPA-HF - estimated completion 12/2019.⁸
- Does dapagliflozin have a role in primary prevention of CV events? (subgroup analysis for 1° prevention suggests not: HR 1.01; 0.86-1.2; 95% CI).
- The statistically significant CV benefits shown in this trial are mostly due to the participants (~40%) with established ASCVD (secondary prevention). This is an important distinction from the population studied in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, which solely studied participants with established ASCVD.
- SGLT-2 inhibitors are still new drugs, and their mechanisms aren't fully understood.⁹ Real world data collection is important to determine the effects of these medications, as some benefits and harms may be a class effect, while others may be individual to only one drug.
- ~23.1% of participants discontinued the trial regimen early (21.1% dapagliflozin vs 25.1% placebo), but this is a reasonable representation of real-world adherence.
- There was no reported allocation concealment or mention of blinded adjudication of outcomes.

RxFiles RELATED LINKS

- RxFiles Diabetes Agents Outcome Table: <https://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Diabetes-Agents-Outcomes-Comparison-Summary-Table.pdf>
- **DECLARE-TIMI 58** Article: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1812389>
- RxFiles **EMPA-OUTCOME** Trial Summary: <https://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/EMPA-REG%20Trial%20Summary.pdf>

♀ Prior approval required by NIHB ■=Exceptional Drug Status in SK ♂=male ♀=female A1C=glycosylated hemoglobin ACS=acute coronary syndrome AE=adverse events AKI=acute kidney injury ARB=angiotensin II receptor blocker ARI=absolute risk increase ARR=absolute risk reduction ASCVD=atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease BMD=bone mineral density BMI=body mass index BP=blood pressure CI=confidence interval CKD=chronic kidney disease CV=cardiovascular CVD=cardiovascular disease D/C=discontinue(d) DBP=diastolic blood pressure DC=Diabetes Canada DKA=diabetic ketoacidosis DM=diabetes mellitus DPP-4=dipeptidyl peptidase-4 EF=ejection fraction eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate ESRD=end-stage renal disease GLP-1=glucagon-like peptide 1 HF=heart failure HHF=hospitalizations for heart failure HR=hazard ratio hx=history ITT=intention to treat IQR= interquartile range MACE=major adverse cardiovascular events MI=myocardial infarction NNH=number needed to harm NNT=number needed to treat PAD=peripheral artery disease PP=per protocol pts=patients SBP=systolic blood pressure SGLT-2 ⚡= sodium-glucose transporter 2 inhibitor sx=symptom T1DM=type 1 diabetes mellitus T2DM=type 2 diabetes mellitus TIA=transient ischemic attack TZD=thiazolidinedione UTI=urinary tract infection

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Contributors & Reviews: Julia Bareham, Alex Crawley, Brent Jensen, Lynette Kosar, Marlys LeBras, Loren Regier, Taisha Trischuk **Prepared By:** Jonathan DeRoos

DISCLAIMER: The content of this newsletter represents the research, experience and opinions of the authors and not those of the University of Saskatchewan. Neither the authors nor University of Saskatchewan nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the newsletter will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of the University of Saskatchewan, its employees, servants or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. Additional information and references online at www.RxFiles.ca Copyright 2019 – RxFiles, University of Saskatchewan (U of S)

References:

1. Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, Silverman MG, Zelniker TA, Kuder JF, Murphy SA, Bhatt DL, Leiter LA, McGuire DK, Wilding JPH, Ruff CT, Gause-Nilsson IAM, Fredriksson M, Johansson PA, Langkilde AM, Sabatine MS; **DECLARE-TIMI 58** Investigators. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2019 Jan 24;380(4):347-357. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1812389. Epub 2018 Nov 10. PubMed PMID: 30415602.
2. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, Mattheus M, Devins T, Johansen OE, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC, Inzucchi SE; **EMPA-REG OUTCOME** Investigators. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2015 Nov 26;373(22):2117-28. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504720. Epub 2015 Sep 17. PubMed PMID: 26378978.
3. Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, de Zeeuw D, Fulcher G, Erond N, Shaw W, Law G, Desai M, Matthews DR; **CANVAS** Program Collaborative Group. Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2017 Aug 17;377(7):644-657. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611925. Epub 2017 Jun 12. PubMed PMID:28605608.
4. Diabetes Canada Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee. **Diabetes Canada 2018 Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prevention and Management of Diabetes in Canada**. *Can J Diabetes*. 2018;42(Suppl 1):S1-S325.
5. **American Diabetes Association** Diabetes Care 2019 Jan; 41(Supplement 1): S73-S85. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-S008>.
6. Snapinn, Steven M. "Noninferiority trials." *Current controlled trials in cardiovascular medicine* vol. 1,1 (2000): 19-21. doi:10.1186/cvm-1-1-019
7. "A Study to Evaluate the Effect of Dapagliflozin on Renal Outcomes and Cardiovascular Mortality in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease." A Study to Evaluate the Effect of Dapagliflozin on Renal Outcomes and Cardiovascular Mortality in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease, AstraZeneca, clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03036150.
8. McMurray JJV, DeMets DL, Inzucchi SE, Køber L, Kosiborod MN, Langkilde AM, Martinez FA, Bengtsson O, Ponikowski P, Sabatine MS, Sjöstrand M, Solomon SD; **DAPA-HF** Committees and Investigators. A trial to evaluate the effect of the sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor dapagliflozin on morbidity and mortality in patients with heart failure and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (DAPA-HF). *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2019 Mar 21. doi: 10.1002/ehf.1432. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 30895697.
9. Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I, Im K, Goodrich EL, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, Furtado RHM, Bhatt DL, Leiter LA, McGuire DK, Wilding JPH, Sabatine MS. SGLT2 inhibitors for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. *Lancet*. 2019 Jan 5;393(10166):31-39. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32590-X. Epub 2018 Nov 10. PubMed PMID: 30424892.