Drug / BRAND	Vitamin K Antagonist	DOACs			
		Thrombin Inhibitor Factor <u>Xa</u> Inhibitors			
	Warfarin	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban
	COUMADIN, g	PRADAXA, g	XARELTO, g	ELIQUIS, g	LIXIANA; 🧮: SAVAYSA
Landmark AF RCTs	Active	<u>RE-LY</u> ¹	ROCKET-AF ²	ARISTOTLE 3	ENGAGE-AF ⁴
Comparing to	comparator	N=18,113 randomized 1:1:1	N=14,264	N=18,201	N=21,105 randomized 1:1:1 edox
	Efficacy / safety	dabi 110mg or 150mg BID	riva 20mg* daily vs warf (TTR 55%)	apix 5mg* BID vs warf (TTR	30mg* or 60*mg daily
wartarin non-	demonstrated in	vs warf (TTR 64%)	ITT; double-blind, RCT	62%) ITT; double-blind RCT	vs warf (TTR 65%)
inferiority analysis;	multiple RCTs (e.g.	ITT; open-label RCT	*20% (n=1474) on riva had CrCl	*4.7% (n=428) on apix had ≥2:	ITT; double-blind RCT
superiority if	ACTIVE-W) & meta-	Note: 75mg dose not studied	30-49mL/min \rightarrow 15mg daily	≥80yr, ≤60kg, SCr ≥133 umol/L	* \downarrow dose by 50% (i.e. to 15mg or 30mg)
appropriate	analyses.			→ 2.5mg BID	if ≥1 of: CrCl 30-50mL/min, or ≤60kg, or
- PP - P		1.12	()	1.12	on veraparni/quindine/dronedarone
	\checkmark	V V P	¥ ?	V V Z	V V I
↓ Stroke /	absolute	NNT=88/2yrs	riva vs warf NS	NNT=167/1.8yrs	NNT=141/2.8yrs
Systemic Embolism	differences minimal	2.2% dabi 150mg vs 3.4% warf;	only per-protocol met non-	2.3% apix vs 2.9% warf	2.6% edox 60mg vs 3.3% warf
-,	when TTR≥65%	dabi 110mg vs warf NS	inferiority, not ITT		ITT non-infer, mITT superior;
					edox 30mg vs warf NS
	×	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓
Intracranial	low incidence	↓ rate vs warfarin	↓ rate vs warfarin	↓ rate vs warfarin	↓ rate vs warfarin
Hemorrhage	but↑ rates in	NNT=116/2yrs	NNT=250/1.6yrs	NNT=128/1.8yrs	NNT=99/2.8yrs
-	RCTs vs DOACs	0.6% dabi 150mg vs 1.5% warf	0.8% riva vs 1.2% warf	0.6% apix vs 1.4% warf	0.9% edox 60mg vs 1.9% warf
Major GI Bleed		×	×	✓	×
		NNH=100/2vrs	NNH=100/1 6yrs	no difference vs warfarin	NNH=166/2.8vrs
	✓	3 1 % dahi 150mg vs 2 1% warf:	3 2% riva vs 2 2% warf	1.2% anix vs 1.3% warf	3 3% edox 60mg vs 2 7% warf
		2 3% dabi 110mgys 2 1% warf	5.2/0 HVU V3 2.2/0 Wull	[observational data: anixaban	1.8% edox 30mg vs 2.7% warf
		NS (but less benefit)		\downarrow GI bleed vs other DOACs] ¹⁰	NNT=111/2 8yrs (but less benefit)
Major Bleed	\checkmark	6.6 % dabi 150mg vs 7% warf		V bleeds vs warrann	V bleeds vs warrann
-		0.0 % dabi 150mg vs 7% wan	5.6% riva vs 5.4% wart	NN1=67/1.8yrs	NNT=67/2.8yrs
-1 1				3.6% apix vs 5.1% warf	6% edox 60mg vs 7.5% Wart
Bleed	✓	✓	×		
Management	Vitamin K, PCC	idarucizumab PRAXBIND	Antidote:	andexanet alfa ondexate x (a) and	DEAXA FDA 18
Discontinuation		×		✓	
Discontinuation	_	NNH=25/2yrs	_	NNT=45/1.8vrs	—
Rates		21% dabi vs 17% warf	no difference vs warfarin	25% apix vs 28% warf	no difference vs warfarin
	RCTs ongoing.				
	Observational	.			
Renal function 🤰	data conflicting.	×	very limited data	very limited data	very limited data
(CrCl < 30ml /min)	benefit may not	Contraindicated	avoid a <15ml /min	avoid a <15ml /min	avoid 🔊 <15mL/min
	outweigh harm	3 <30mL/min			(see side panel for >95ml/min)
	esn in FSRD				
	Half-life of DOACs i	s shorter than warf Con : nona	dherence (missed doses) will r	l esult in earlier loss of antico	gulation status vs warfarin
Half-life Pros/Cons	Hall-life of DOACS is shorter than wart. Con: nonadherence (missed doses) will result in earlier loss of anticoagulation status vs warfarin.				
	INP monitoring	A second star	l a when managing bleeds	coagulation status returns to	l alter stopping.
	tailor dose re:	× ? ↑ MI see <u>RxFiles Q&A</u>		↓ All-Cause Mortality	
Other	stroke vs bleed risk	×个 Dyspepsia	-	NNT=132/1.8yrs	-
	× ? inconvenient	NNH=18/2vrs vs warf		6.6% apix vs 7.4% warf	
	Warfarin has $\Delta \Phi$ drug interactions; however, very few interactions with warfarin are absolutely contraindicated - warfarin dose can be				
Drug Interactions	tailored in response to INR: Generally avoid 3M & Dan induc/inhibs with DOACs, but some menographs offer desing guidance to manage				
Cost per month	V V Ş15	🗸 (228 8 🗙 🔺) - 2120	▼ \$35 g (brand=\$105)	▼ \$3/g (brand=\$118)	► \$107
	Indirect costs with warfarin include INR monitoring and time/travel to the patient.				

✓ An Advantage
✓
Neutral
×
× A Disadvantage
? Unknown/Ongoing

Comments

- There is a positive correlation between warfarin's efficacy / safety and its **Time in Therapeutic Range (TTR)**. Consider local context.
- unction (also see row in table): have limited RCT data with function (CrCl <30mL/min). in: observational data for safety & is conflicting. Dabigatran is ndicated (CI) if CrCl <30ml/min; renally cleared. Apixaban & aban have limited RCT data down 15mL/min. RENAL-AF: apixaban arin n=154 (planned for n=760) ialysis patients, ended early; risk ding & benefit similar. OAC not ly recommended in Stage 5 CKD <15mL/min).^{CCS AF WR, LQ} Edoxaban >95mL/min: 个 risk of ischemic FDA recommends to avoid, but Canada does not.
- Valvular atrial fibrillation (AF in presence of mechanical heart valve, or of moderate-severe mitral stenosis, rheumatic or nonrheumatic): warfarin is the preferred agent^{CCS'20}; dabigatran contraindicated (↑ rates of bleeding & thrombotic events in RE-ALIGN trial); avoid other DOACs.
- **Canadian differences**: international trials with few Canadian patients; in general, most Canadian sites would be expected to have better TTR with warfarin than average,⁸ & less absolute risk of intracranial hemorrhage.⁷ These factors potentially limit DOAC advantages.
- Importance of dose: efficacy & bleed risk are both dependent on dose; e.g. dabigatran 110mg BID & edoxaban 30mg daily had ↓ bleeding, but also ↓ efficacy, vs dabigatran 150mg BID¹& edoxaban 60mg daily, ⁴ respectively.
- Life-threatening/ fatal bleed was ↓ in dabi / riva / edox trials vs warfarin.

Trials were designed as non-inferiority, with option for superiority analysis. Only direct comparisons of individual DOACS with warfarin have been studied; comparisons above are indirect & have inherent limitations; however, they are the best data available. apix=apixaban dabi=dabigatran edox=edoxaban DOAC=direct oral anticoagulant → apix, dabi, edox, or riva PCC=prothrombin complex concentrate riva=rivaroxaban thrombin=aka Factor IIa warf=warfarin wt=weight

Anticoagulation Colour Comparison Chart

This editorial synthesis was based on interpretation of data from RCTs (RELY, ROCKET-AF, ARISTOTLE, ENGAGE-AF), CADTH reports, product monographs & clinical consultation.

Acknowledgements: Written by Loren Regier and Zack Dumont; reformatted in 2021 by Alex Crawley. Ongoing edits provided by Lynette Kosar (2018-2022) and Eric Landry (2023). Thanks to our reviewers (2023): Darcy Lamb, Kelly Buxton, Alex Crawley, Loren Regier, Brent Jensen.

Disclosures: No conflicts of interest are reported by the authors.

Disclaimer: RxFiles Academic Detailing is part of the College of Pharmacy and Nutrition at the University of Saskatchewan. The content of this work represents the research, experience, and opinions of the authors and not those of the University of Saskatchewan. Neither the authors nor the University of Saskatchewan nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the materials will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of the University of Saskatchewan, its employees, servants, or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources.

Search Terms

Anticoagulant	22
Apixaban	22
Atrial Fibrillation	22
COUMADIN	22
Dabigratran	22
DOACs	22
Edoxaban	22
ELIQUIS	22
LIXIANA	22
PRADAXA	22
Rivaroxaban	22
Warfarin	22
XARELTO	22
Andexanet alfa	22
ONDEXXYA	22

References:

1. RE-LY. Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Parekh A, Pogue J, Reilly PA, Themeles E, Varrone J, Wang S. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2009 Sep 17;361(12):1139-51.

2. ROCKET-AF. Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, Pan G, Singer DE, Hacke W, Breithardt G, Halperin JL, Hankey GJ, Piccini JP, Becker RC. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011 Sep 8;365(10):883-91.

3. ARISTOTLE. Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, Lopes RD, Hylek EM, Hanna M, Al-Khalidi HR, Ansell J, Atar D, Avezum A, Bahit MC. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011 Sep 15;365(11):981-92.

4. ENGAGE-AF. Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Halperin JL, Waldo AL, Ezekowitz MD, Weitz JI, Špinar J, Ruzyllo W. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013 Nov 28;369(22):2093-104.

5. Jones M, McEwan P, Morgan CL, Peters JR, Goodfellow J, Currie CJ. Evaluation of the pattern of treatment, level of anticoagulation control, and outcome of treatment with warfarin in patients with non-valvar atrial fibrillation: a record linkage study in a large British population. *Heart*. 2005;91(4):472-477. doi:10.1136/hrt.2004.042465

6. Wells G, Coyle D, Cameron C, Steiner S, Coyle K, Kelly S, Tang A, Healey J, Hsieh SC, van Berkom J. Safety, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness of new oral anticoagulants compared with warfarin in preventing stroke and other cardiovascular events in patients with atrial fibrillation.

7. Gomes T, Mamdani MM, Holbrook AM, Paterson JM, Hellings C, Juurlink DN. Rates of hemorrhage during warfarin therapy for atrial fibrillation. Cmaj. 2013 Feb 5;185(2):E121-7.

8. Gómez-Outes A, Terleira-Fernández AI, Calvo-Rojas G, Suárez-Gea ML, Vargas-Castrillón E. Direct oral anticoagulants for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation: meta-analysis by geographic region with a focus on European patients. British journal of clinical pharmacology. 2016 Sep;82(3):633-44. See Appendix S4: North America had among the highest rates of TTR among regions.
9. Trusler M. Well-managed warfarin is superior to NOACs. Canadian Family Physician. 2015 Jan 1;61(1):23-4.

10. Lau WC, Torre CO, Man KK, Stewart HM, Seager S, Van Zandt M, Reich C, Li J, Brewster J, Lip GY, Hingorani AD. Comparative Effectiveness and Safety Between Apixaban, Dabigatran, Edoxaban, and Rivaroxaban Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Multinational Population-Based Cohort Study. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2022 Nov;175(11):1515-24.