

Opioid vs Nonopioid Medications on Pain-Related Function in Chronic Pain (Back, OA-Hip/Knee) Critical Appraisal & Insights from the **SPACE RCT**^{1,2}

BOTTOM LINE ⇒ supports a nonopioid dominant step approach to long-term management of moderate-severe CNCP

- Patients with chronic non-cancer pain (CNCP) – (back pain or osteoarthritis of the hip or knee) did as well or better on a strategy that favored a non-opioid stepped approach to therapy compared to an opioid stepped approach (relatively low-dose).
 - No difference in functional outcomes
 - Pain intensity was worse in the opioid strategy arm than the non-opioid arm (NNH = 8)
 - Adverse events were more common in the opioid strategy arm
- Significant improvements in both pain and function was seen in both groups, possibly related to both being allowed the same access to non-pharmacologic interventions, and both had frequent follow-up visits and/or phone calls.
- Patients in the opioid group saw a greater reduction in anxiety score
- Contextualizing requires consideration for a) lack of patient blinding b) limited CNCP indications included, c) low MED/day 85% <50MED

BACKGROUND¹

- Long-term opioid therapy has been a common approach for managing moderate-severe chronic musculoskeletal pain.
- Quality RCT evidence to evaluate the comparative long-term (>3-6 month) benefits and harms has been lacking

TRIAL BACKGROUND/DESIGN¹

DESIGN: randomized, outcome assessors blinded but was not possible to mask patients; allocation concealed; 12 month duration; pragmatic trial design {diverse patients in primary care, flexibility in medication selection and dose, participation in non-pharmacological pain therapy encouraged. Funded by Veterans Affairs (VA)}.

INTERVENTION in addition to optional non-pharmacological treatments:

A) Opioid Prescribing Strategy - 3 step: i) morphine IR, hydrocodone/acetaminophen and oxycodone IR; ii) morphine sustained action (SR) and oxycodone SR iii) transdermal fentanyl. Single opioid preferred, but SR + IR was considered based on patient. Doses titrated up to 100 MED/day; if no response to 60 MED/day, rotation to another opioid was considered.

B) Non-Opioid Prescribing Strategy – 3 step: i) acetaminophen and NSAIDs; ii) adjuvant oral medications (nortriptyline, amitriptyline, gabapentin) and topical analgesics (capsaicin lidocaine); iii) pregabalin, duloxetine and tramadol. Changes included titrating, replacing or adding medications.

INCLUSION: veterans with chronic back or hip/knee osteoarthritis pain, with moderate-severe intensity and interference with function despite analgesics. (BPI: pain ≥ 5 on a 0-10 scale; interference with function ≥ 5)

EXCLUSION: a) schizophrenia, bipolar or other psychosis; b) moderately severe cognitive impairment, c) anticipated back, knee or hip surgery within 12 months; d) those receiving current chronic opioid therapy or absolute contraindications to either strategy. (e.g. substance use disorder)

POPULATION at baseline: n=240

- Mean age ~58; 87% male; ~87% white; education: 25% ≥4yr degree; veterans affairs population
- Employed for wages: 42% vs 26%; retired: 36% vs 47%; 65% back pain & 35% OA, hip or knee
- Similar mental health, ~22% depression; 11% anxiety; 21% PTSD (severe depression and PTSD excluded)

RESULTS follow-up: median 277 days (9.2 months)

TABLE 1: EFFICACY (MODIFIED ITT ANALYSIS)						
CLINICAL ENDPOINTS	OPIOID STRATEGY n=119	NONOPIOID STRATEGY n=119	BETWEEN GROUP DIFFERENCE	P VALUE*	NNT / NNH 12 MONTHS	COMMENTS
PRIMARY EFFICACY ENDPOINT						
Pain-related function (BPI 7 item)	5.4 ⇒	5.5 ⇒	-0.1	.58 (no signif. difference)		NO DIFFERENCE SEEN BPI: 0-10 scales: higher score = worse Minimum clinically important difference: (MCID): 1-point difference as the MCID for BPI interference and BPI severity, and used a 30% reduction from baseline as MCID for moderate improvement
- 3mo	3.7	3.7	0.0			
- 6mo	3.4	3.6	-0.2			
- 9mo	3.6	3.3	0.4			
- 12 mo	3.4	3.3	0.1			
SECONDARY EFFICACY ENDPOINTS						
PAIN INTENSITY (BPI 4-ITEM) – 12 MO	5.4 ⇒ 4.0	5.4 ⇒ 3.5	0.5	.03		OPIOIDS WORSE than non-opioid strategy for pain in 1 of every 8 people treated @12 mo
PAIN INTENSITY ≥ 30% IMPROVEMENT	41.0%	53.9%	12.8%	.05	8	
Functional response ≥ 30% improvement	59%	60.7%	-1.7%	.79	-	
VR-12 Physical health – 12 mo	27.2 ⇒ 32.7	27.0 ⇒ 33.9	-1.3	.23		
VR-12 Mental health – 12 mo	47.3 ⇒ 51.2	47.8 ⇒ 50.4	0.7	.40		
PHQ-8 Depression symptom – 12 mo	6.3 ⇒ 4.3	5.8 ⇒ 4.5	-0.2	.13		
GAD-7 ANXIETY SYMPTOM – 12 MO	4.0 ⇒ 2.5	3.5 ⇒ 2.8	-0.4	.02		
PROMIS Sleep Disturbance – 12 mo	25.5 ⇒ 23.4	24.2 ⇒ 21.0	2.3	.33		
MIDAS Headache – 12 mo	6.1 ⇒ 3.7	6.1 ⇒ 3.2	0.5	.82		
ASEX Sexual function – 12 mo	17.4 ⇒ 17.9	17.7 ⇒ 19.0	-1.1	.49		
MFI General fatigue – 12 mo	13.8 ⇒ 12.5	12.8 ⇒ 12.0	0.6	.68		
MFI Reduced motivation – 12 mo	9.8 ⇒ 8.6	8.8 ⇒ 8.8	-0.2	.09		
Other patient-reported outcomes included & not significant difference: MFI physical fatigue, MFI reduced activity						
PRIMARY ADVERSE OUTCOME						
Medication-related symptom checklist	1.2 ⇒ 1.8	1.2 ⇒ 0.9	0.9	0.3		0-19; higher score = worse
SECONDARY ADVERSE OUTCOMES & POTENTIAL MISUSE MEASURES						
Hospitalization, all cause ED visits, falls; MISUSE: positive UDS, misuse behaviour, patient reported substance use						
*P value not adjusted for multiple testing						

STRENGTHS, LIMITATIONS, UNCERTAINTIES & OF INTEREST

- STRENGTHS:**
- Included patients with mild-moderate depression and PTSD which are both common comorbidities in CNCP
 - Step and “treat to target” approach reflects real life and recommended approaches to pain (pain intensity, enjoyment of life, general activity)³
 - Reflects real life variation in adherence to medications
 - Potential for reporting bias that should have favored opioids, strengthening our confidence that opioids underperformed
 - Assesses opioid therapy at lower dosages which have become more recommended³ (~85% opioid grp on <50 MED/day)
- LIMITATIONS:**
- Mostly Caucasian males
 - Subjectivity of interventions and outcome reporting. Complexity of interventions precluded masking of patients and primary outcomes were patient reported.
 - Limited in insights on higher opioid doses (≥ 50 MED); however, as doses increase there is unknown benefit and known harm (eg, opioid induced hyperalgesia, increased risk of fatal and non-fatal overdose)
 - Does not represent and apply to patients with a significant history of using opioids for CNCP
- UNCERTAINTIES:**
- Study underpowered to detect small differences in most secondary outcomes
 - Missing data from some endpoints could be enough to eclipse a statistically significant effect (e.g. not all patients reported on all measures at all follow-up visits)
 - More patients in the opioid group had “unsure or no preference” regarding their treatment strategy assignment, compared to the non-opioid group (60% vs 43%). Could mean patients in the non-opioid group “cared more” or were more engaged in their therapy? This may represent a source of potential bias, especially given lack of patient blinding.
 - Though a “long” study relative to other opioid studies, does not provide insight into longer-term toxicities of either strategies (eg, NSAIDs and CKD/CVD, opioids and hypogonadism)
- OF INTEREST:**
- Tramadol was included in Step 3 of NON-Opioid strategy, even though it has opioid activity
 - TCA adequate trial defined as 50mg for 2+ weeks
 - NSAID: diclofenac and etodolac not prescribed due to high CV risk
 - Topical diclofenac was added mid-study when added to VA formulary
 - LFTs rechecked within 3 months of starting diclofenac (if started)
 - Initial opioid limit was 200 MED/day; changed/reduced mid-study
 - Patients paid to participate (increased to \$100 mid-study to increase recruitment)
 - Of 4,485 with prior month health record of back or lower extremity pain, only 265 enrolled. 1,843 declined to participate and 2,377 did not meet pain diagnosis and severity criteria (common exclusions: fibromyalgia, migraine, opioid or benzo use, mental health condition and substance use disorder).
 - FUNDED BY THE VA

BPI= brief pain inventory **MED/day**=morphine equivalent dose per day **mo**=month **NNT**=number needed to treat **NNH**=number needed to harm **NS**=non-statistically significant **SR**=sustained release (note we have used SR instead of SA in the trial write up given the potential for people to mis-interpret as short acting, as identified by reviewers.)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

Prepared By: Loren Regier. Reviewed by: Zack Dumont BSP, Tanya Nystrom BSP, Caitlin Coons BSP; SHA Residents: Jordan Kalesnikoff, Stephanie Zimmer, Lindsay Edgington

DISCLAIMER: The content of this newsletter represents the research, experience and opinions of the authors and not those of the Board or Administration of the University of Saskatchewan. Neither the authors nor the University of Saskatchewan nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants or represents that the information contained herein is accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the result obtained from the use of such information. Any use of the newsletter will imply acknowledgment of this disclaimer and release any responsibility of SHR, its employees, servants or agents. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. Additional information and references online at www.RxFiles.ca Copyright 2018 – RxFiles Academic Detailing

References:

- ¹ Krebs EE, Gravelly A, Nugent S, Jensen AC, DeRonne B, Goldsmith ES, Kroenke K, Bair MJ, Noorbaloochi S. Effect of Opioid vs Nonopioid Medications on Pain-Related Function in Patients With Chronic Back Pain or Hip or Knee Osteoarthritis Pain: The SPACE Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2018 Mar 6;319(9):872-882. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.0899. PubMed PMID: 29509867; PubMed. Central PMCID: PMC5885909. Supplemental content available at: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/2673971?utm_campaign=articlePDF&utm_medium=articlePDFlink&utm_source=articlePDF&utm_content=jama.2018.0899
- ² Supplemental content available at: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/2673971?utm_campaign=articlePDF&utm_medium=articlePDFlink&utm_source=articlePDF&utm_content=jama.2018.0899
- ³ Canadian Guideline for Safe and Effective Use of Opioids for Chronic Non-Cancer Pain — Part B: Recommendations for Practice, Version 5.5 April 30, 2010. [NOUGG] Accessed at: http://nationalpaincentre.mcmaster.ca/documents/opioid_guideline_part_b_v5_6.pdf