STATIN INTOLERANCE - MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

BOTTOM LINE: PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

- Statins are the lipid lowering drugs with the best evidence for outcome benefit! ($\sqrt{}$ Non-fatal MI, CV death & stroke & mortality)
- The amount of effort spent in persevering with statin therapy in patients with significant adverse effects should be related to their level of cardiovascular (CV) risk. (CV) risk. Those at **higher CV risk** stand to benefit more!
- For subjects at **low risk of CV** events who are intolerant to statin therapy, a re-evaluation of need for therapy should precede trial of an alternative therapy. ^{1(CCS 12)}
- CK levels should be monitored at baseline and in those patients who develop myalgia while on a statin. (ICCS 12)
- Having a high risk patient on a statin, irrespective of LDL levels achieved, has shown a reduction in CV events (multiple trials).
 Allowing unmet LDL targets to drive therapy toward supratherapeutic unstudied doses &/or combination therapies lacks evidence (unknown benefits vs harms). Let the target serve the patient, not the patient the target!

IMPORTANT CLINICAL QUESTIONS:

1. IS THE STATIN INDICATED IN TERMS OF THE PATIENT'S CARDIOVASCULAR (CV) RISK?

Secondary Prevention

- i. Statins lower risk of CV events (MI, CV death +/- stroke) & all-cause mortality in patients with previous history of CV events (e.g. 4S, LIPID, HPS) 2,3,5,6,7,8,9,10,11
 - well designed 5-6 yr RCT data with > 10yr follow up data
- ii. High dose statins (e.g. atorvastatin 80mg daily) have evidence of lowering risk of CV events more than low dose (atorvastatin 10mg daily) in select very high risk patients
 - ◆ modest benefit post ACS TNT, PROVE-IT 12,13

Mortality: NNT/ \sim 5yrs = 30 ^{4S 2,3} CV events: NNT/ \sim 5yrs = 12 ^{4S 2,3}

Mortality: NNT/~5yrs = NS ^{TNT 12} CV events: NNT/~5yrs = 46 ^{TNT 12} NNT/~5yrs = 78 ^{14(CCT 10)}

Primary Prevention CARDS, ASCOT, HPS-subset, JUPITER 5,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17

- i. Statins lower risk of CV events in mod-high risk patients without a prior CV event
 - absolute benefits are modest relative to secondary prevention
 - relative benefit vs harm of high dose statins not studied

- Mortality: NNT/ \sim 5yrs = NS CV events: NNT/ \sim 4yrs = 32 CARDS 15 NNT/ \sim 3.3yrs = 91 ASCOT 16 NNT/ \sim 2yrs = 120 JUPITER 18
- ii. Those with lower CV risk have less absolute benefit from a statin which must
 - be weighed against the uncertainties regarding potential benefits versus harms over longer durations
 - ⇒ statins may be stopped in patients with statin intolerance who are otherwise at low CV risk
 - ⇒ although absolute risk of harms (muscle ¹⁹, onset of diabetes NNH=255/4yrs, ↑ in elderly ²⁰, renal injury ²¹) is small, it should not be dismissed in those less likely to benefit where many would be exposed to long term therapy
 - ⇒ statins have not been well studied in very elderly patients (>age 82); consider ↑potential for AEs, patient values, etc.
- 2. WHY SHOULD EFFORTS BE MADE TO MAINTAIN OR RETRIAL A STATIN WHEN OTHER LIPID LOWERING DRUGS ARE AVAILABLE? [FOR STRATEGIES TO OVERCOME STATIN INTOLERANCE, SEE PAGE 2.]

Statin therapy is usually preferred over alternate lipid lowering drugs due to:

lack of, or limited clinical outcome evidence for non-statin drugs relative to statins

Ezetimibe EZETROL

- ◆no evidence for lowering CV/mortality risk as monotherapy in acute coronary syndrome patients awaiting IMPROVE-IT 22
- ◆lacks evidence for lowering CV/mortality risk in combination with a statin compared to a placebo in mild-to-moderate, asymptomatic aortic stenosis patients SEAS 23
- ◆very limited evidence & surrogate outcome evidence, e.g. intima-media thickness, has been disappointing ENHANCE 24
- •only when combined with a proven therapy (e.g. simvastatin) in chronic kidney disease & dialysis patients (stage 3-4 CKD patients) was a benefit seen; benefit could have been due to the statin alone SHARP 25

Fibrates

- ◆monotherapy: evidence mixed or lacking for CV/mortality benefit for fibrates in contrast to statins ^{26,27,28}
- ◆combination: fenofibrate + simvastatin not more effective than simvastatin monotherapy ACCORD-Lipid 29

3. What evidence is there for adding a 2nd drug to achieve an LDL target?

There is no evidence that adding a 2nd drug to achieve an LDL target results in more benefit than harm

- i. Patients should be informed of the uncertainties surrounding such strategies.
- ii. Combination drug regimens failed to show a benefit over statin monotherapy in two recent trials
 - ◆ 1. Simvastatin +/- Niacin NIASPAN AIM-HIGH 30; 2. Simvastatin +/- Fenofibrate ACCORD-Lipid 29

STATIN INTOLERANCE: MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH MYOPATHIES 31,32,33,34,35

- Muscle symptoms (myalgia), signs (CK elevations) or combination (myositis, rhabdomyolysis) are the most prevalent & important AE's associated with statin therapy discontinuation.
- In statin studies, myopathy incidence varies but is generally thought to occur in 1.5% to 10.5% of patients within the first 6 months; however onset can also be delayed for several years.³⁶
- Over diagnosis of myopathy occurs frequently & can lead to labelling a patient as statin intolerant, therefore, diagnosis should be reserved for patients reporting symptoms associated with use of statin which resolve when the statin is stopped.
- If presented with a true statin intolerant patient there is optimism for use as a recent study found that 9 of 10 patients who stopped taking a statin because of adverse effects are able to restart it or take a different statin & continue therapy for 12 months.
- Considering that the benefits of statins are substantial on reducing CV events & mortality, while non-statin based agents have to date proven no benefit on these hard outcomes, when indicated, clinicians should make every effort possible to re-challenge a statin intolerant patient & use all methods described below before abandoning therapy.
- A recent meta-analysis examined the absolute risk versus benefit ratio comparing myopathy related events (myalgia, myopathy, asthenia, rhabdomyolysis) to cardiovascular endpoints (MI,revascularization, stroke, cardiovascular death, or all cause mortality)
 & determined that there was a 126:1 in favour of statin treatment.

RHABDOMYOLYSIS (0.01%) MYALGIA (occurs in 5-10% of patients) ⇒ Muscle discomfort (pain, cramping, weakness, ⇒ Myositis occurs in the presence of the ⇒ Rhabdomyolysis refers to muscle soreness, aches, tenderness, stiffness) that same symptoms of myalgia with the symptoms consistent with myalgia/ myositis & significant CK elevation may mimic flu-like symptoms & usually addition of a elevated CK (>2-4 x involves shoulders, pelvic girdle & upper arms ULN) in the absence of ↑ SCr & (>4-10X ULN) with potential secondary or thighs (CK ≤ ULN) 30,31,33,34 myoglobinuria 31,22,33,34 consequences (hyperkalemia, STEP 1: Check CK & assess for myopathy risk & STEP 1: Assess & reemphasize lifestyle hypocalcemia, cardiac arrhythmia or arrest, DIC, or renal failure) 31,22,33,34 etiologic factors (see Myopathy Risk Factors) measures in lowering CV risk; STEP 2: Assess & reemphasize lifestyle measures in **STEP 1**: Stop statin until CK ≤ ULN & reassess statin indication patient is asymptomatic 31,32,35 lowering CV risk; reassess statin indication STEP 2: Stop statin until CK ≤ ULN & patient is asymptomatic 31,32,35 STEP 3: May stop or continue statin depending on STEP 2: Reassess risk vs benefit & patients risk factors 33 pain severity. If statin stopped wait until pain STEP 3: Assess the patients' risk factors resolves before reinitiating 31,32,34 for myopathy **STEP 3**: If the episode is mild may STEP 4: Consider therapeutic options for management consider re-challenge with a low **STEP 4**: Consider options for dose of a different statin 31,35 below (options not necessarily in order of management as per myalgia **STEP 4**: If the episode was moderate to preference) (column at left – 4A→4E) A: Consider lowering the dose if continuing or re-trialing severe consider referral to previous statin, especially if started on high-dose statin. specialist to weigh risk vs benefit ◆ ~60% of patients intolerant to a usual dose statin were of statin therapy 31 able to tolerate low dose simvastatin <10 mg daily 31 STEP 5: Consider the use of bile acid B: Consider switching to another statin. sequestrant, ezetimibe, nicotinic acid (or ◆ In previously intolerant patients, ~98% were possibly combinations) to achieve LDL maintained on rosuvastatin 5-10mg daily for 44 weeks lowering 31,32,35 and ~96% complied with fluvastatin XL 80mg daily **MYOPATHY RISK FACTORS** therapy during a 12 week study. 31,32 (However, 71% in a **Endogenous** Risk Factors **Exogenous** Risk Factors small (n=118 patients) retrospective analysis, were even able to tolerate a same-statin rechallenge. $^{\rm Brennan\,'17}$) -Age >80 years -High statin dose -Female -Alcohol abuse C: If intolerable, consider alternate day dosing regimens -Asian ethnicity -Illicit drug use (allow for at least some statin as opposed to non-statin) -Low body mass index -Antipsychotic use • rosuvastatin 10mg every other day was well tolerated -History of pre-existing muscle/joint/ tendon -Surgery with severe metabolic demands (~ 75%) ^{31,32} pain -Heavy &/or unaccustomed exercise • atorvastatin 10mg twice weekly was well tolerated by -History of CK elevation (commonly reported symptom trigger) -DI: amiodarone, azole antifungals, Diabetes Mellitus • rosuvastatin 5-20mg weekly has also been studied cyclosporine, fibrates, macrolide antibiotics, -Family history of myopathy with or without D: Consider adding CO-Q10 (200mg/day) or Vit D (400-600 statin treatment nefazodone* still available in USA, nicotinic acid, IU daily) to current statin therapy. -Metabolic muscle disease protease inhibitors, tacrolimus, verapamil, • Evidence limited, however, considered relatively -Severe renal disease (eGFR ≤ 30 mL/min) warfarin. Grapefruit in large quantities. safe³⁸. Opinion varies as to the role for these options. -Acute/decompensated hepatic disease E: If none of the above are effective, may consider non--Hypothyroidism statin alternative, however, evidence lacking 31,32,35 -Genetic polymorphisms of CYP enzyme

ACS=acute coronary syndrome AE=adverse event CCS=Canadian Cardiovascular Society CK=creatinine kinase CKD=chronic kidney disease CO-Q10=coenzyme-Q10 CV=cardiovascular CYP=cytochrome P450 enzyme D=drug interaction DIC=disseminated intravascular coagulation eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate IU=international unit LDL=low density lipoprotein MI=myocardial infarction mg=milligrams NNT=number needed to treat NS=not significant RCT=randomized control trial SCr=serum creatinine ULN=upper limite of normal Vit D=vitamin D XL=extended release yr=year Adapted from RxFiles PharmD Rotation Project by Matthew Swankhuizen, May 2013.

- ¹ Anderson TJ, Grégoire J, Hegele RA, et al. 2012 update of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) guidelines for the diagnosisand treatment of dyslipidemia for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in the adult. Can J Cardiol. 2013 Feb;29(2):151-67.
- ² Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (45). Lancet 1994;344:1383-9.
- ³ Strandberg TE, Pyorala K, Cook TJ, et al; <u>45</u> Group. Mortality and incidence of cancer during <u>10-year</u> follow-up of the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (45). Lancet. 2004 Aug <u>28</u>;364(9436):771-7. (Chonchol M, Cook T, Kjekshus J, Pedersen TR, Lindenfeld J. Simvastatin for secondary prevention of all-cause mortality and major coronary events in patients with mild chronic renal insufficiency. Am J Kidney Dis. 2007 Mar;49(3):373-82.)
- ⁴ Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atovastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Lancet 2004;364:685-96. Colhoun HM, et al.; on behalf of the CARDS Investigators. Rapid emergence of effect of atorvastatin on cardiovascular outcomes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Diabetologia. 2005 Nov 12;:1-4 [Epub ahead of print] RESULTS: A reduction in the primary endpoint of major CVD events was apparent and statistically significant as soon as 18 months after treatment initiation. The effect of atorvastatin on CHD events was apparent by 6 months, and at 1 year was similar to the 37% relative risk reduction observed at trial closure.) (Neil HA, et al. CARDS Study Investigators. Analysis of efficacy and safety in patients aged 65-75 years at randomization: Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Diabetes Care. 2006 Nov;29(11):2378-84.)
- Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al.; CARDS Investigators. Effects of Atorvastatin on Kidney Outcomes and Cardiovascular Disease in Patients With Diabetes: An Analysis From the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Am J Kidney Dis. 2009 Jun 18. [Epub ahead of print] A modest beneficial effect of atorvastatin on eGFR, particularly in those with albuminuria, was observed. Atorvastatin did not influence albuminuria incidence. Atorvastatin was effective at decreasing CVD in those with and without a moderately decreased eGFR and achieved a high absolute benefit.
- ⁵ Heart Protection Study (<u>HPS</u>)- Preliminary data from: <u>www.hpsinfo.org</u>
- ⁶ MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol-lowering therapy and of antioxidant vitamin supplementation in a wide range of patients at increased risk of coronary heart disease death: early safety and efficacy experience (<u>HPS</u>). Eur Heart J 1999;20:725-41.
- ⁷ Heart Protection Study Group.MRC/BHF <u>HPS</u> study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2002 Jul 6;360(9326):7-22. (**11,609** of 32,145 pts in 4-6 wk run in treatment with simvastatin 40mg/d were excluded)
- ⁸ Heart Protection Study Group.MRC/BHF HPS study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 5,963 people with diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2003 Jun 14;361(9374):2005-16.
- ⁹ Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. Effects of cholesterol-lowering with sinvastatin on stroke and other major vascular events in 20 536 people with cerebrovascular disease or other high-risk conditions. Lancet 2004 Mar 6;363(9411): 757-67. (Heart Protection Study Group. Lifetime cost effectiveness of sinvastatin in a range of risk groups and age groups derived from a randomised trial of 20 536 people. (HPS) BMJ. 2006 Nov 10; Heart Protection Study (HPS) Collaborative Group. C-reactive protein concentration and the vascular benefits of statin therapy: an analysis of 20 536 patients in the Heart Protection Study. *Lancet* 2011; DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62174-5.
- Hopewell JC, Parish S, Clarke R, et al. No impact of KIF6 genotype on vascular risk and statin response among 18 348 randomized patients in the Heart Protection Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; DOI:10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.015. Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. Effects on 11-year mortality and morbidity of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin for about 5 years in 20 536 high-risk individuals: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2011; online Nov 23.
- ¹⁰ Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischeamic Heart Disease (<u>LIPID</u>) Study Group. Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med 1998; 339:1349-1357.
- ¹¹ LIPID Study Group (Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease). Long-term effectiveness and safety of pravastatin in 9014 patients with coronary heart disease and average cholesterol concentrations: the LIPID trial follow-up. Lancet. 2002 Apr 20;359(9315):1379-87.
- ¹² LaRosa JC. et al. Intensive Lipid Lowering with Atorvastatin in Patients with Stable Coronary Disease (<u>TNT</u>). N Engl J Med. 2005 Mar 8;352 online. (InfoPOEMs: The benefit of intensive lipid therapy in patients with known heart disease is very modest: a number needed to treat (NNT) of 45 for 5 years to prevent any cardiovascular outcome. There was no difference in all-cause mortality between intensive and less intensive treatment groups (5.6% vs 5.7%), and the study was large enough and long enough to be able to detect such a benefit if one existed. Since the benefit of lipid lowering is greatest in patients with known disease, any benefit is certainly much less lower for patients without known disease who are at much lower risk. (LOE = 1b)) (5461 of 15,464 pts in 8 wk open-label treatment with atorvastatin 10mg/d were excluded).
 - McGowan MP; Treating to New Target (TNT) Study Group. There is no evidence for an increase in acute coronary syndromes after short-term abrupt discontinuation of statins in stable cardiac patients. Circulation. 2004 Oct 19;110(16):2333-5.
- Shepherd J, et al. Effect of lowering LDL cholesterol substantially below currently recommended levels in patients with coronary heart disease and diabetes: the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study. Diabetes Care. 2006 Jun;29(6):1220-6.
- Deedwania P, et al. Treating to New Targets Investigators. Reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients with coronary heart disease and metabolic syndrome: analysis of the Treating to New Targets study. Lancet. 2006 Sep 9:368(9539):919-28.
- Wenger NK, Lewis SJ, Herrington DM, Bittner V, Welty FK; Treating to New Targets Study Steering Committee and Investigators. Outcomes of using high- or low-dose atorvastatin in patients 65 years of age or older with stable coronary heart disease. Ann Intern Med. 2007 Jul 3;147(1):1-9. The analysis suggests that additional clinical benefit can be achieved by treating older patients with CHD more aggressively to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels to less than 2.6 mmol/L (<100 mg/dL). The findings support the use of intensive low-density lipoprotein cholesterol-lowering therapy in high-risk older persons with established cardiovascular disease. Larosa JC, Grundy SM, Kastelein JJ, Kostis JB, Greten H; Treating to New Targets (TNT) Steering Committee and Investigators. Safety and Efficacy of Atorvastatin-Induced Very Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Levels in Patients With Coronary Heart Disease (a Post Hoc Analysis of the Treating to New Targets [TNT] Study). Am J Cardiol. 2007 Sep 1;100(5):747-52. Epub 2007 Jun 14. In conclusion, the present analysis adds support to the concept that for patients with established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, a further risk reduction without sacrifice of safety can be achieved by reducing LDL cholesterol to very low levels. (Barter P, Gotto AM, LaRosa JC, Maroni J, et al; Treating to New Targets Investigators (TNT). HDL cholesterol, very low levels of LDL cholesterol, and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med. 2007 Sep 27;357(13):1301-10. In this post hoc analysis, HDL was predictive of major cardiovascular events in patients treated with statins. This relationship was also observed among patients with LDL cholesterol levels below 70 mg per deciliter.)
- Wenger NK, Lewis SJ, Welty FK, Herrington DM, Bittner V. Beneficial effects of aggressive LDL cholesterol lowering in women with stable coronary heart disease in the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study. Heart. 2007 Dec 10; [Epub ahead of print] Conclusion Intensive lipid-lowering treatment with atorvastatin 80 mg produced significant reductions in relative risk for major cardiovascular events compared with atorvastatin 10 mg in both women and men with stable CHD.
- Shepherd J, Kastelein JJ, et al.; TNT (Treating to New Targets) Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and chronic kidney disease: the TNT (Treating to New Targets) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008 Apr 15;51(15):1448-54. [PubMed in process] Aggressive lipid lowering with atorvastatin 80 mg was both safe and effective in reducing the excess of cardiovascular events in a high-risk population with CKD and CHD.
- Shepherd J, Kastelein JP, Bittner VA, Carmena R, Deedwania PC, Breazna A, Dobson S, Wilson DJ, Zuckerman AL, Wenger NK; Treating to New Targets Steering Committee and Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with coronary artery disease, diabetes, and chronic kidney disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 2008 Aug;83:870-9. The absolute risk reduction in patients with diabetes and CKD was substantial, yielding a number needed to treat of 14 to prevent 1 major cardiovascular event over 4.8 years. Patients with diabetes, stable coronary artery disease, and mild to moderate CKD experience marked reduction in cardiovascular events with diabetes and end-stage renal disease.
- Bangalore S, Messerli FH, Wun CC, et al. Treating to New Targets Steering Committee and Investigators. J-curve revisited: an analysis of blood pressure and cardiovascular events in the Treating to New Targets (TNT) trial. Eur Heart J. 2010 Sep 16. Mora S, Wenger NK, DeMicco DA, et al. Determinants of residual risk in secondary prevention patients treated with high-versus low-dose statin therapy: the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study. Circulation. 2012;125:1979 –1987. Ho JE, Waters DD, Kean A, et al; TNT Investigators. Relation of Improvement in Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate With Atorvastatin to Reductions in Hospitalizations for Heart Failure (from the Treating to New Targets [TNT] Study). Am J Cardiol. 2012 Mar 27.
- 13 Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22 Investigators. Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2004 Apr 8;350(15):1495-504. Epub 2004 Mar 8. Erratum in: N Engl J Med. 2006 Feb 16;354(7):778.
- Ahmed S, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, et al. Acute coronary syndromes and diabetes: Is intensive lipid lowering beneficial? Results of the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 trial. Eur Heart J. 2006 Oct;27(19):2323-9.

 Murphy SA, Cannon CP, Wiviott SD, McCabe CH, Braunwald E. Reduction in recurrent cardiovascular events with intensive lipid-lowering statin therapy compared with moderate lipid-lowering statin therapy after acute Coronary syndromes from the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 (Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 22) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009 Dec 15;54(25):2358-62.
- Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170 000 participants in 26 randomised trials. The Lancet, Early Online Publication, 9 November 2010. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61350-5. In this analysis of patient data pooled from multiple studies, intensive statin therapy was more effective than less intensive statin therapy in reducing the rate of major cardiovascular events. Additionally, statins are more effective than controls in preventing major cardiovascular events. In spite of the authors' attempts to link these data to low-density lipoprotein (LDL) concentrations, none of the trials randomly assigned patients to specific LDL targets. (LDE = 1a) These authors pooled the patient-level data from 26 studies each involving at least 1000 patients and having at least 2 years of follow-up. Five of the trials compared intensive statin therapy with less intensive statin therapy and 21 compared statins with controls. Of the 5 trials comparing statin intensity, 2 evaluated 8659 patients with acute coronary syndromes (2.1 years of follow-up) and 3 evaluated 30,953 patients with stable coronary artery disease (5.8 years of follow-up). After 1 year of treatment, the LDL cholesterol levels decreased by an average 0.51 mmol/L (20 mg/dL). The annual rate of major vascular events cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, revascularization, or stroke) was 4.5% in the intensive therapy group and 5.3% in the less intense therapy group (number needed to treat [NNT] = 200 per year). Of the 14 trials comparing statin therapy with control (128,596 patients with 4.8 years of follow-up), 6 appear to be primary prevention studies and the remainder were for secondary prevention. In these 14 studies, after 1 year of treatment the LDL cholesterol levels decreased by 1.07 mmol/L (14 mg/dL). The annual rate of major vascular events was 2.8% in the patients taking statins compared with 3.6% in patients taking a control agent
- Solhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atovastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Lancet 2004;364:685-96. Colhoun HM, et al.; on behalf of the CARDS Investigators. Rapid emergence of effect of atorvastatin on cardiovascular outcomes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Diabetologia. 2005 Nov 12;:1-4 [Epub ahead of print] RESULTS: A reduction in the primary endpoint of major CVD events was apparent and statistically significant as soon as 18 months after treatment initiation. The effect of atorvastatin on CHD events was apparent by 6 months, and at 1 year was similar to the 37% relative risk reduction observed at trial closure.) (Neil HA, et al. CARDS Study Investigators. Analysis of efficacy and safety in patients aged 65-75 years at randomization: Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Diabetes Care. 2006 Nov;29(11):2378-84.)
- Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al.; CARDS Investigators. Effects of Atorvastatin on Kidney Outcomes and Cardiovascular Disease in Patients With Diabetes: An Analysis From the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS). Am J Kidney Dis. 2009 Jun 18. [Epub ahead of print] A modest beneficial effect of atorvastatin on eGFR, particularly in those with albuminuria, was observed. Atorvastatin did not influence albuminuria incidence. Atorvastatin was effective at decreasing CVD in those with and without a moderately decreased eGFR and achieved a high absolute benefit.

- ¹⁶ Peter S Sever, Björn Dahlöf et al. Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial—Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial Lancet 2003; 361: 1149-58. Online April 2, 2003.
 - Sever PS, et al. The Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial lipid lowering arm: extended observations 2 years after trial closure. Eur Heart J. 2008 Feb;29(4):499-508. Carry-over benefits from those originally assigned atorvastatin but no longer taking the drug may account for unchanged relative risk reductions in most cardiovascular endpoints observed 2 years after ASCOT-LLA closed.
 - Sever PS, Poulter NR, Dahlof B, Wedel H; on behalf of the ASCOT investigators. Antihypertensive therapy and the benefits of atorvastatin in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial: lipid-lowering arm extension.J Hypertens. 2009 Mar 21. [Epub ahead of print]
- Sever PS, Chang CL, Gupta AK, et al. Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (Ascot): 11-year mortality follow-up of the lipid-lowering arm in the UK. Eur Heart J 2011. (Less mortality mainly from less non-cardiovascular deaths)
- ¹⁷ Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al; PROSPER study group. PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002 Nov 23;360(9346):1623-30.
- 18 Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, et al. the <u>JUPITER</u> Study Group. Rosuvastatin to Prevent Vascular Events in Men and Women with Elevated C-Reactive Protein. N Engl J Med. 2008 Nov 9. [Epub ahead of print] In this trial of apparently healthy persons without hyperlipidemia but with elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels, rosuvastatin significantly reduced the incidence of major cardiovascular events. (Hlatky MA. Expanding the Orbit of Primary Prevention -- Moving beyond JUPITER. N Engl J Med. 2008 Nov 9.
- Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, et al. JUPITER Trial Study Group. Reduction in C-reactive protein and LDL cholesterol and cardiovascular event rates after initiation of rosuvastatin: a prospective study of the JUPITER trial. Lancet. 2009 Apr 4;373(9670):1175-82. Epub 2009 Mar 28.
- Everett BM, Glynn RJ, MacFadyen JG, Ridker PM. Rosuvastatin in the prevention of stroke among men and women with elevated levels of C-reactive protein: justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER). Circulation. 2010 Jan 5;121(1):143-50.
- Mora S, Glynn RJ, Hsia J, Macfadyen JG, Genest J, Ridker PM. Statins for the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Women With Elevated High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein or Dyslipidemia. Results From the Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: An Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) and Meta-Analysis of Women From Primary Prevention Trials. Circulation. 2010 Feb 22.
- Glynn RJ, Koenig W, Nordestgaard BG, Shepherd J, Ridker PM. Rosuvastatin for primary prevention in older persons with elevated C-reactive protein and low to average low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels: exploratory analysis of a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2010 Apr 20;152(8):488-96, W174.
- de Lorgeril Michel; Salen Patricia; Abramson John; et al. Cholesterol Lowering, Cardiovascular Diseases, and the Rosuvastatin-JUPITER Controversy: A Critical Reappraisal. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170(12):1032-1036.

 Ridker PM, Genest J, Boekholdt SM, et al. JUPITER Trial Study Group. HDL cholesterol and residual risk of first cardiovascular events after treatment with potent statin therapy: an analysis from the JUPITER trial. Lancet. 2010 Jul 31:376(9738):333-9.
- Ridker PM, MacFayden JG, Nordestgaard BG, et al. Rosuvastatin for primary prevention among individuals with elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and 5% to 10% and 10% to 20% 10-year risk. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2010: DOI: 10.1161/circoutcomes.110938118.
- Koenig W, Ridker PM. Rosuvastatin for primary prevention in patients with European systematic coronary risk evaluation risk >5% or Framingham risk >20%: Post hoc analyses of the JUPITER trial requested by the European health authorities. Eur Heart J 2010; DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehq370.
- Hsia J, MacFayden JG, Monyak J, Ridker PM. Cardiovascular event reduction and adverse events among subjects attaining low-density lipoprotein cholesterol <50 mg/dL with rosuvastatin. (JUPITER) J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; 57: 1666-1675.
- Vidt Donald G., Ridker Paul M., Monyak JT, et al. Longitudinal Assessment of Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate in Apparently Healthy Adults: A Post hoc Analysis from the JUPITER Study (Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: An Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin). Clinical Therapeutics, Volume 33, Issue 6, June 2011, Pages 717-725, ISSN 0149-2918, DOI: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2011.05.004.

 Novack V. Macfadven J. Malhotra A. et al. The effect of rosuvastatin on incident pneumonia: results from the JUPITER trial. CMAI. 2012 Mar 19.
- ¹⁹ Mansi I, Frei CR, Pugh MJ, et al. Statins and musculoskeletal conditions, arthropathies, and injuries. JAMA. 2013 June 3.
- ²⁰ Carter AA, Gomes T, Camacho X, et al. Risk of incident diabetes among patients treated with statins: population based study. BMJ. 2013 May 23;346:f2610.
- Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM, et al. Statins and the risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomized statin trials. Lancet 2010;375:735-42
- ²¹ Dormuth CR, Hemmelgam BR, Paterson JM, et al; Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies. Use of high potency statins and rates of admission for acute kidney injury: multicenter, retrospective observational analysis of administrative databases. BMJ. 2013 Mar 18;346:f880.
- ²² Cannon CP, Giugliano R, Blazing M, Harrington R, Peterson J, etal. IMPROVE-IT Investigator. Rationale and design of IMPROVE-IT. Heart J 2008;156:826-83219
- ²³ Rossebø AB, Pedersen TR, Boman K, et al; SEAS Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with simvastatin and ezetimibe in aortic stenosis. N Engl J Med. 2008 Sep 25;359(13):1343-56.
- ²⁴ Kastelein JJ, Sager PT, de Groot E, Veltri E. Comparison of ezetimibe plus simvastatin versus simvastatin monotherapy on atherosclerosis progression in familial hypercholesterolemia. Design and rationale of the Ezetimibe and Simvastatin in Hypercholesterolemia Enhances Atherosclerosis Regression (ENHANCE) trial. Am Heart J. 2005 Feb;149(2):234-9.
- 25 Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, et al; <u>SHARP</u> Investigators. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients with chronic kidney disease (Study of Heart and Renal Protection): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2011 Jun 25;377(9784):2181-92.
- 26 BIP Study Group. Secondary prevention (n=3090) by raising HDL cholesterol and reducing triglycerides in patients with coronary artery disease. The bezafibrate infarction prevention (BIP) study. Circulation 2000;102:21-27. (Tenenbaum A, Motro M, Fisman EZ, et al. Bezafibrate for the secondary prevention of myocardial infarction in patients with metabolic syndrome. Arch Intern Med. 2005 May 23;165[10]:1154-60 & McCormack J, Loewen P. The other side of the bezafibrate infarction prevention trial data. Arch Intern Med. 2005 Nov 14;165(20):2431-2; author reply 2432.) (Tenenbaum A, et al. Attenuation of progression of insulin resistance in patients with coronary artery disease by bezafibrate. Arch Intern Med. 2006 Apr 10;166(7):737-41.)
- Goldenberg I, et al. Secondary prevention with bezafibrate therapy for the treatment of dyslipidemia: an extended follow-up of the BIP trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008 Jan 29;51(4):459-65. The data demonstrate that bezafibrate therapy in the BIP trial was associated with significant long-term cardiovascular protection that was attenuated by an unbalanced usage of nonstudy LLDs during the course of the trial.
- Goldenberg I, Boyko V, Tennebaum A, et al. Long-term benefit of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol-raising therapy with bezafibrate. (16yr follow up) Arch Intern Med 2009; 169: 508-514.
- ²⁷ Bloomfield Rubins A, Robins SJ, Collins D, et al. Gemfibrozil for the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in men with low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<u>VA-HIT</u>). N Engl J Med 1998; 339:1349-57.
- ²⁸ Frick MH, Elo O, Haapa K, et al. Helsinki Heart Study (HHS): Primary-prevention trial with gemfibrozil in middle-aged men with dyslipidemia. Safety of treatment, changes in risk factors, and incidence of coronary heart disease. N Engl J Med 1987;317:1237-45. (Tenkanen L, et al. Gemfibrozil in the Treatment of Dyslipidemia: An 18-Year Mortality Follow-up of the Helsinki Heart Study. (HHS) Arch Intern Med. 2006 Apr 10;166(7):743-8.)
- 29 ACCORD Study Group, Effects of Combination Lipid Therapy (simvastatin with fenofibrate) in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. N Engl J Med 2010 0: NEJMoa1001282.
- ³⁰ National Institutes of Health. NIH stops clinical trial (<u>AIM-HIGH</u>) on combination cholesterol treatment [press release]. May 26, 2011. Available here. A trial of extended-release niacin (Niaspan, Abbott) given in addition to statin therapy in patients with a history of cardiovascular disease, high triglycerides, and low levels of HDL cholesterol has been halted prematurely, 18 months ahead of schedule, because niacin offered no additional benefits in this patient population. There was also a small, unexplained increase in ischemic stroke (1.6 vs.0.7%) in the high-dose, extended-release niacin group, in the <u>Atherothrombosis Intervention in Metabolic Syndrome with Low HDL</u>
 Cholesterol/High Triglyceride and Impact on Global Health Outcomes (AIM-HIGH) study, according to a statement from the **National Heart Lung and Blood Institute** (NHLBI), which sponsored it. N=3414, 23months. AIM-HIGH enrolled 3,414 participants in the US and Canada with a history of cardiovascular disease, low HDL cholesterol, and high triglycerides, who were all prescribed simvastatin and who were also randomized to either high-dose, extended-release niacin in gradually increasing doses up to 2000 mg per day (n=1718) or placebo (n=1696). Of the participants, 515 were given a second LDL-cholesterol-lowering drug, ezetimibe (Zetia, Merck/Schering-Plough), in order to maintain LDL-cholesterol levels at the target range between 40 and 80 mg/dLAIM-HIGH investigators. Niacin in patients with low HDL cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. N Engl J Med2011; DOI:10.1056/oa1107579.
- Mancini J, Baker S, Bergeron J, Fitchett D, Frohlich J, et al. Diagnosis, Prevention, and Management of Statin Adverse Effects and Intolerance: Proceedings of a Canadian Working Group Consensus Conference. Canadian Journal of Cardiology 2011;27:635-662
- Mancini GB, Tashakkor AY, Baker S, et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and management of statin adverse effects and intolerance: canadian working group consensus update. Can J Cardiol. 2013 Dec;29(12):1553-68.

 31 Mancini J, Baker S, Bergeron J, Fitchett D, Frohlich J, et al. Diagnosis, Prevention, and Management of Statin Adverse Effects and Intolerance: Proceedings of a Canadian Working Group Consensus Conference. Canadian Journal of Cardiology 2011:27:635-662
- ³²Jacobson T. Toward "Pain-Free" Statin Prescribing: Clinical Algorithm for Diagnosis and Management of Myalgia. Mayo Clin Proc 2008;83:687-700
- ³³ Zhang H,Plutzky J, Skentzos S, Morrison F, May P, et al. Discontinuation of statins in routine care settings. A cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2013;158:526-534
- 34 Keating A, Campbell K, Guyton J, etal. Intermittent Nondaily Dosing Strategies in Patients with Previous Statin-Induced Myopathy. Ann Pharmaco 2012;47:398-404
- 35 Tsuyuki R, Williams C. Assessment of muscle pain associated with statins- A tool for pharmacists. CPJ 2009;142:280-283
- 36 Ridker P, Danielson E, Fonseca F, Genest J, Gotto A, etal. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. N Engl J Med 2008;359:-2195-2207
- ³⁷ Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, Holland L, Reith C, et al . Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomized trials. Lancet 2010;376:1670-1681
- 38 Wyman M, Leonard M, Morledge T. Co-enzyme Q10: A therapy for hypertension and statin-induced myalgia? Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine 2010;77:435-442
- ³⁹ Regier L, Jensen B. RxFiles Q&A: Statins in The Media. What are we to make of it? May 2012. Accessed on line at http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/Lipid-statins-heart-risk-media.pdf

Additional References

Brennan ET, Joy TR. Management strategies for statin-associated muscle symptoms: How useful is same-statin rechallenge? Can J Cardiol 2017; published online: March 1, 2017.

Fitchett DH, Hegele RA, Verma S. **Statin intolerance**. Circulation. 2015 Mar 31;131(13):e389-91.

Mancini GB, Tashakkor AY, Baker S, et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and management of **statin adverse effects and intolerance**: **canadian** working group consensus update. Can J Cardiol. 2013 Dec;29(12):1553-68.

Mansi IA, Mortensen EM, Pugh MJ, Wegner M, Frei CR. Incidence of musculoskeletal and neoplastic diseases in patients on statin therapy: results of a retrospective cohort analysis. Am J Med Sci. 2013 May;345(5):343-8. doi: 10.1097/MAJ.0b013e31825b8edf. PubMed PMID: 22975580.

Parker BA, Capizzi JA, Grimaldi AS, et al. The effect of statins on skeletal muscle function. (STOMP) Circulation 2012.

 $Rx Files \ Lipid \ Landmark \ Trials. \ Accessed \ on \ line \ at \ \ \frac{http://www.rxfiles.ca/rxfiles/uploads/documents/members/CHT-lipid%20 \ agents-major%20 \ trials.pdf$

Serban M-C, Colantonio LD, Manthripragada AD, et al. Statin intolerance and risk of coronary heart events and all-cause mortality following myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:1386–95

Stroes ES, Thompson PD, Corsinia A, et al. Statin-associated muscle symptoms: impact on statin therapy—European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel statement on assessment, aetiology, and management. Eur Heart J 2015.

More information available from RxFiles.ca.